## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Isolation, Selection, and Biological Evaluation for Bacteria that Fix Nitrogen and Produce Indole-3-Acetic Acid from Paddy Soils in Vietnam

Van Chi Tran<sup>1,2</sup>, Pham Thi Tuyet Mai<sup>1</sup>, Nguyen Thi Giang<sup>1</sup>, La Van Hien<sup>2,3</sup>, Nguyen Manh Tuan<sup>4</sup>, Nguyen Thanh Hai<sup>2,5</sup>, Hoang Thi Lan Anh<sup>2,5,\*</sup> and Nguyen Quoc Khuong<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Biotechnology and Food Technology, Thai Nguyen University of Agriculture and Forestry, Quyet Thang, Thai Nguyen 24119, Vietnam

<sup>2</sup>Mountainous Resources Environment Center, Thai Nguyen University of Agriculture and Forestry, Quyet Thang, Thai Nguyen 24119, Vietnam

<sup>3</sup>Center of Crop Research for Adaptation to Climate Change, Thai Nguyen University of Agriculture and Forestry, Quyet Thang, Thai Nguyen 24119, Vietnam

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Life Science, Thai Nguyen University of Agriculture and Forestry, Quyet Thang, Thai Nguyen 24119, Vietnam

<sup>5</sup>Faculty of Environment, Thai Nguyen University of Agriculture and Forestry, Quyet Thang, Thai Nguyen 24119, Vietnam

<sup>6</sup>Faculty of Crop Science, College of Agriculture, Can Tho University, Can Tho 94000, Vietnam

#### Abstract:

**Introduction/Background:** Acidic soils are limiting the production of crops and indirectly harming the environment due to the use of nitrogen (N) chemical fertilizer. Therefore, the current study aims to isolate a promising  $N_2$ -fixing candidate to solve this issue in some communes of Phu Luong District, Thai Nguyen Province, Vietnam.

*Materials and Methods:* The bacteria were isolated using the Ashby medium. The IAA generation and nitrogen fixation were assessed using spectroscopy. The selected bacteria were tested at temperatures ranging from 28°C to 42°C and pH levels of 4.0 to 9.0. As a result, the Box-Behnken model yielded a growth equation. The API kit test was used to measure the biochemical properties of the selected bacteria.

**Results:** The result demonstrated that there were ten nitrogen-fixing bacteria (NFB) isolates with capacities of  $N_2$  fixation and IAA production at 2.35-24.61 and 0.00-119.13 µg/ml. Among them, the NL3 strain was the best strain. The optimum condition to grow the NL3 strain was calculated as 5.44 days at pH 7.10 and temperature of 32.18°C to reach a bacterial density of 9.77929×10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml. In addition, the NL3 strain was identified as an *Azotobacter* species. The genomic analysis revealed that the genome of *Azotobacter* sp. NL3 was nearly 5.4 Mb long and contained 45 N<sub>2</sub> fixation-relating genes and 7 IAA production-relating genes.

**Conclusion:** Not only can this study provide insight into the features of *Azotobacter* spp., but it also introduces a potent candidate that can improve soil health and crop yield by fixing  $N_2$  and producing IAA in order to limit the use of N chemical fertilizer for a sustainable agriculture. Therefore, the selected bacterial strain should be further tested under the local field conditions and subsequently commercialized as a biofertilizer.

Keywords: Azotobacter sp., Acidic soil, Biochemistry, Genomics, Indole-acetic acid, N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria.

ISSN: 1874-3315



© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

\*Address correspondence to this author at the Mountainous Resources Environment Center, Thai Nguyen University of Agriculture and Forestry, Quyet Thang, Thai Nguyen 24119, Vietnam; E-mail: hoangthilananh@tuaf.edu.vn

*Cite as:* Tran V, Mai P, Giang N, Van Hien L, Tuan N, Hai N, Anh H, Khuong N. Isolation, Selection, and Biological Evaluation for Bacteria that Fix Nitrogen and Produce IAA from Paddy Soils in Vietnam. Open Agric J, 2024; 18: e18743315298974. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118743315298974240313043427

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Nitrogen (N) is a crucial nutrient for crops, influencing and limiting their growth and yield [1,2]. It is generally used as N fertilizers in the form of  $CO(NH_2)_2$  [2]. Moreover, the significant demand for food in response to overpopulation may lead to an increased utilization of nitrogen fertilizers [3]. However, the N fertilizer can be lost by up to 50% into the soil and environment [4,5], also called nitrate pollution [1], which can ultimately harm human health [6,7]. Therefore, the overuse of N fertilizers can lead to soil, underground water, and air contaminations [2,5,8,9]. Many proposed approaches addressed this situation, such as using slow-release N fertilizer [3], combining N fertilizer with mulch [10], and applying N<sub>2</sub>fixing bacteria (NFB) [11].

The pathway of  $N_2$  fixation is reducing free  $N_2$  in the atmosphere to ammonia under microaerobic conditions [1], which is facilitated by three types of nitrogenases (Mo-, V-, and Fe- nitrogenase) [1]. These enzymes are coded by nif genes [12, 13]. Some plants, especially the legume, which has been reported to intercrop with rice, maize, and wheat, have been reported to be able to fix  $N_2$  by having a symbiotic relationship with NFB either in the soil or inside the root itself [1,5, 14]. One well-known NFB is Astragalus sinicus [12]. Singh *et al.* [2] reported that many  $N_2$ -fixing bacteria proved their abilities to act as a biocontrol and promote plant growth in sugarcane, such as Bacillus megaterium and *Bacillus mycoides*. Among these bacteria, *Azotobacter* spp. is a well-known candidate [5]. Moreover, these bacteria species can induce plant resistance to environmental stresses and produce plant growth-producing substances (PGPS), such as indole-acetic acid (IAA), gibberellins, and cytokinin [5]. Therefore, Azotobacter spp. has been developed as a biofertilizer [15]. Furthermore, bacterial IAA is such a popular PGPS that it can be used to evaluate the plant growth-promoting potential of a bacterium [16].

In Thai Nguyen Vietnam, the soil is classified as Kanhaplustult ultisoils [17, 18], with a low pH and a high concentration of nutrients, including N. Moreover, acidic soils can lead to great toxicities of Al, Fe, and Mn and low availability of N, phosphorus (P), Ca, potassium (K), and Mg [19, 20]. A study conducted in Thai Nguyen, Vietnam, found that prolonged nitrogen fertilization resulted in a modest rise in the soil's overall N content. However, it also decreased the levels of P and K [21], while the nutrient availability could be a constraint of crop growth and yield [4]. Given such difficulties in Thai Nguyen, Vietnam, finding an indigenous



Received: January 25, 2024 Revised: February 26, 2024 Accepted: February 28, 2024 Published: October 08, 2024



Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

NFB strain to improve soil health and crop production is an ideal solution for sustainable agriculture here. Therefore, the study was conducted to isolate strong NFB strains in Thai Nguyen soils in order to evaluate their IAA production along with their morphological and biochemical characteristics.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 2.1. Isolation of $N_2$ -fixing and IAA-producing Bacteria

Bacteria strains were collected from soils in communes of Luong Phu, Tuc Tranh, Vo Tranh, and Phan Me of Phu Luong District, Thai Nguyen Province, Vietnam.

The isolation was conducted on an Ashby medium containing 20.0 g Mannitol, 0.2 g  $K_2HPO_4$ , 0.2 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 g NaCl, 0.1g  $K_2SO_4$ , and 5.0 g CaCO<sub>3</sub> in a litter of solution made up of distilled water. Bacteria strains that can live and propagate in the Ashby medium were able to fix  $N_2$ .

The  $N_2$ -fixing bacterial strains were cultured in an Ashby medium supplied with L-tryptophan 0.1%. Strains that can change the color of the Salkowski indicator were able to produce IAA, according to the method of Glikmann and Dessaux (1995).

# 2.2. Selection for $N_{\rm 2}\mbox{-fixing}$ and IAA-producing Bacteria

The quantification of IAA production was based on the standard IAA solution reacting with the Salkowski indicator and measured for a certain ultraviolet (UV) absorbance. The bacteria were cultured in Ashby broth (supplemented with tryptophan 0.1%) at 30°C and shaken at 150 rpm for seven days.Subsequently, the culture was mixed with the Salkowski indicator and measured for UV absorbance.

The N<sub>2</sub>-fixing capacity was based on the production of

 $\mathrm{NH_4^+}$  in the bacterial culture. The bacterial strains were continuously cultured in Ashby broth at 30°C and shaken at 150 rpm for seven days. The culture was then mixed with the Nessler indicator and measured for UV absorbance.

#### 2.3. Biological Evaluation for $N_2$ -fixing and IAAproducing Bacteria

Bacteria colonies were observed under a Scanning

Electron Microscope (SEM) at a focal distance of 5  $\mu m$  for morphology.

The effects of temperature and pH on the growth of the isolated strains were also assessed. The temperatures used were 28°C, 30°C, 32°C, 34°C, 36°C, 38°C, 40°C, and 42°C, while the variations of pH were 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, 7.5, 8.0, 8.5, and 9.0. The pH was adjusted by the following buffer solution: Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> and KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. The culture was conducted based on the above temperature or pH variation for five days. The cell density was measured according to an optical density (OD) at 610 nm. Hence, an optimal condition was established according to the Box-Behnken model with 17 experimental units and three replications. The optimized factors consisted of the following: culture duration (X1) with -1, 0, and +1 corresponding to 4, 5, and 6 days; culture temperature (X2) with -1, 0, and +1 corresponding to 30°C, 32°C, and 34°C; and culture pH (X3) with -1, 0, and +1 corresponding to 6.5, 7, and 7.5. From there, a multivariate model showing the cell density was established using the regression analysis. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to evaluate the established model and the interactions between factors affecting cell density. The expectation function method was used to optimize the density of NL3 cells obtained from the culture process using the Design-Expert software (DX 7.1.5).

The production of some enzymes, including phosphatase alkaline, esterase (C4), esterase lipase (C8), lipase (C14), leucine arylamidase, valine arylamidase, cystine arylamidase, trypsin, D-chymotrypsin, acid phosphatase, naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase, D-galactosidase, ß-galactosidase, ß-glucuronidase, D-glucosidase, ßglucosidase, N-acetyl-ß-glucosaminidase, D-mannosidase, and D-fucosidase, was tested using the API ZYM kit. The biochemical functions of the bacteria were evaluated using the API kit.

#### 2.4. Genomic Analysis for N<sub>2</sub>-fixing and IAAproducing Bacteria

To obtain a full genome of the selected bacteria, crude sequences were purified to remove adapters, low-quality nucleotides, and repetitive sequences.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 3.1. Isolation for $N_{\rm 2}\mbox{-}fixing$ and IAA-producing Bacteria

In Table 1, 10 strains can live in Ashby, which is labeled as NL3, NL21. NL22, NL23, NL24, NL213, NL215, NL226, NL235, and NL247. Moreover, their origin of isolation is shown in Table 1. Among them, seven strains can synthesize IAA.

Their  $N_2$ -fixing and IAA-producing capacities have been evaluated and shown in Table 2. The amount of  $\rm NH_4^+$  produced by the bacteria fluctuated from 24.61 µg/ml, while the IAA production was recorded as 11.33-119.13

 $\mu$ g/ml. Among them, the most significant amount of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and IAA belonged to the NL3 strains. Therefore, this strain was chosen for the following experiment. This is in accordance with previous studies, where some NFB strains can produce IAA [16, 22-24]. For example, in the study by Fang et al. [22], 131 strains were isolated from the roots of Ageratina adenophora. They can perform both  $N_2$  fixation and IAA production, leading to significant improvement in plant growth as well. However, the IAA and N<sub>2</sub> fixation vary between various studies in different locations. Some NFB strains in South Sulawesi, Indonesia, showed IAA-producing results from approximately 300 to nearly 1,800 µg/ml [23]. Concurrently, in the study by Wagi and Ahmed [16], two Bacillus spp. can produce IAA of roughly 35.8–36.6 µg/ml. A Curtobacterium sp. strain in China can fix  $N_2$  up to 13.38 µg/ml [24]. However, these above strains were proven to be capable of conducting other plant growth-promoting activities [25], such as P solubilization [22] and siderophores production [16]. Unfortunately, these characteristics were not investigated in our study. Therefore, they should be tested in future studies in which a field trial should be taken with a cultivar habiting in Thai Nguyen Province, Vietnam, such as tea [17], to assess the effects of the selected bacteria on soil health and plant productivity.

#### Table 1. Isolation result for N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria.

| Strain | Location of Isolation | N <sub>2</sub> -fixing<br>Ability | IAA-producing<br>Ability |
|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| NL3    | Luong Phu commune     | +                                 | +                        |
| NL21   | Luong Phu commune     | +                                 | +                        |
| NL22   | Tuc Tranh commune     | +                                 | +                        |
| NL23   | Tuc Tranh commune     | +                                 | +                        |
| NL24   | Vo Tranh commune      | +                                 | +                        |
| NL213  | Vo Tranh commune      | +                                 | -                        |
| NL215  | Vo Tranh commune      | +                                 | -                        |
| NL226  | Phan Me commune       | +                                 | -                        |
| NL235  | Phan Me commune       | +                                 | +                        |
| NL247  | Phan Me commune       | +                                 | +                        |

Table 2. The capacity to produce IAA and fix  $N_{\rm 2}$  of the isolated strains.

| No. | Strain | IAA-producing Capacity<br>(µg/ml) | N₂-fixing Capacity<br>(μg/ml) |
|-----|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1   | NL3    | 119.13                            | 24.61                         |
| 2   | NL21   | 30.68                             | 12.15                         |
| 3   | NL22   | 11.33                             | 7.43                          |
| 4   | NL23   | 29.16                             | 5.25                          |
| 5   | NL24   | 70.91                             | 14.64                         |
| 6   | NL213  | -                                 | 11.56                         |
| 7   | NL215  | -                                 | 6.23                          |
| 8   | NL226  | -                                 | 2.35                          |
| 9   | NL235  | 11.39                             | 4.78                          |
| 10  | NL247  | 7.66                              | 3.24                          |

No.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Strain

NL3 NL21

NL22

NL23

NL24

NL213

NL215

NL226

NL235

NL247

|   | Colony Morphology   | Cell Morphology | Gram | Motility |
|---|---|-----------------|------|----------|
|   | Round, translucent white to greenish white, convex, compact, glistened, and slimy | Short rod       | -    | +        |
|   | Round, opaque white, convex, smooth, glistened, and slimy                         | Oval - round    | -    | +        |
|   | Round, opaque white, wrinkled, crateriform, and rough surface                     | Oval - round    | -    | +        |
|   | Opaque white, round with nucleus, smooth, convex, nonfilamentous                  | Oval            | -    | +        |
|   | Round, translucent white, glistened, nonfilamentous, slimy, and raised            | Short rod       | -    | +        |
| 1 | Round, opaque white, glistened surface, nonfilamentous, slimy, and raised         | Coma            | -    | +        |

Table 3. Morphology of cells and colonies and Gram of the isolated strains.

Round, translucent white, glistened surface, filiform, and slimy

Opaque white, round and without filament, rough surface

Translucent white, irregular, filiform, flat, and rough surface

Opaque white, round, convex, filiform, lobate, and rough

Additionally, the cell and colony features of the isolated bacterial strains are also listed in Table 3. Although they were all generally white, Gram-negative, and mobile, their cell and colony morphological traits varied. In particular, the NL3 strain had short rod-shaped cells and colonies that were round, translucent white to greenish white, convex, compact, glistened, and slimy (Figs. 1 and 2). This is in accordance with the study by Tang *et al.* [26] and Mahmud *et al.* [1], where the NFB were also commonly Gram-negative with convex colonies, especially the *Azotobacter* spp [27]. with motility [28].

#### 3.2. Growth Evaluation for the NL3 Strain

The bacterial density of the NL3 strain ranged from

0.007 to  $9.337 \ x \ 10^8$  CFU/ml from 28°C to 42°C. The result peaked at 32°C and bottomed at 42°C (Table 4). Conversely, the bacterial density fluctuated from 0.007 to

 $9.337 \times 10^8$  CFU/ml under pH from 4.5 to 9.0. The greatest result was found in the pH 7.0, whereas the lowest one was in the pH 4.5 (Table 5). This is in accordance with the study by Mukhtar *et al.* [29], where it was reported that the *Azotobacter* spp. have survivable conditions of pH 5-9 and temperature of 25-40°C.

Short rod

Oval

Short rod

Short rod

>Based on the Box-Behnken practical matrix of culture duration (X1), temperature (X2), and pH (X3), the function showing the bacterial density of the NL3 strain was formulated as follows: Y = + 9.1 + 1.69\*X1 + 0.066\*X2 + 1.8\*X3 + 0.37\*X1\*X2 + 1.45\*X1\*X3 - 0.47\*X2\*X3 - 2.08\*X1<sup>2</sup> - 2.33\*X2<sup>2</sup> - 3.66\*X3<sup>2</sup>. The matrix is shown in Table 6. As observed, all the factors affected the bacterial density of the*Azotobacter*sp. NL3 strain. However, when combined with each other or the factors above the limit, the influences could be negative.



Fig. (1). SEM image of the NL3 strain at 5 µm focal distance.

+

+

+

+

-

-

-



Fig. (2). Colonies of the NL3 strain.

## Table 4. Influence of temperature on the NL3 strain.

| No. | Strain | Bacterial Density (x 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) After Five Days of Culture at a Certain Temperature |       |              |       |       |       |       |       |
|-----|--------|--|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|     |        | 28°C   | 30°C  | 32°C         | 34°C  | 36°C  | 38°C  | 40°C  | 42°C  |
| 1   | NL3    | 9.067  | 9.307 | <u>9.337</u> | 8.087 | 6.127 | 1.197 | 0.507 | 0.007 |

## Table 5. Influence of pH on the NL3 strain.

| Strain | Bacterial Density (x 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) After Five Days of Culture at a Certain pH |          |          |          |          |          |  |  |
|--------|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
|        | pH = 4.0  | pH = 4.5 | pH = 5.0 | pH = 5.5 | pH = 6.0 | pH = 6.5 |  |  |
| NL3    | -   | 0.007    | 0.757    | 2.087    | 8.957    | 9.297    |  |  |
| Strain | Bacterial density (x 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) after five days of culture at a certain pH |          |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| Strain | pH = 7.0  | pH = 7.5 | pH = 8.0 | pH = 8.5 | pH =     | = 9.0    |  |  |
| NL3    | 9.337   | 9.197    | 7.117    | 1.617    | 0.097    |          |  |  |

# Table 6. The Box-Behnken practical matrix of three factors and the bacterial density of the NL3 strain under different culture conditions.

| Employee   | Variables                  | $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \mathbf{m} \cdot (10^{8} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{m}})$ |               |                            |
|------------|----------------------------|--|---------------|----------------------------|
| Experiment | X1 Culture Duration (days) | X2 Culture Temperature (°C)  | X3 Culture pH | NLS Density (10 CF 0/IIII) |
| 1          | 4.00                       | 30.00  | 7.00          | 3.2251                     |
| 2          | 6.00                       | 30.00  | 7.00          | 5.654                      |
| 3          | 4.00                       | 34.00  | 7.00          | 2.9862                     |
| 4          | 6.00                       | 34.00  | 7.00          | 6.8982                     |
| 5          | 4.00                       | 32.00  | 6.50          | 1.294                      |
| 6          | 6.00                       | 32.00  | 6.50          | 1.9709                     |
| 7          | 4.00                       | 32.00  | 7.50          | 1.8614                     |
| 8          | 6.00                       | 32.00  | 7.50          | 8.3416                     |
| 9          | 5.00                       | 30.00  | 6.50          | 0.8959                     |
| 10         | 5.00                       | 34.00  | 6.50          | 1.6026                     |
| 11         | 5.00                       | 30.00  | 7.50          | 5.5644                     |

| (Table 6) contd |                            |  |               |                           |
|-----------------|----------------------------|--|---------------|---------------------------|
| Exporimont      | Variables                  | $M = D_{\text{current}} (10^8 \text{OP} U/\text{current})$ |               |                           |
| Experiment      | X1 Culture Duration (days) | X2 Culture Temperature (°C)                                | X3 Culture pH | NLS Density (10 CF 0/mil) |
| 12              | 5.00                       | 34.00  | 7.50          | 4.3798                    |
| 13              | 5.00                       | 32.00  | 7.00          | 9.1081                    |
| 14              | 5.00                       | 32.00  | 7.00          | 9.337                     |
| 15              | 5.00                       | 32.00  | 7.00          | 9.2375                    |
| 16              | 5.00                       | 32.00  | 7.00          | 8.9787                    |
| 17              | 5.00                       | 32.00  | 7.00          | 8.8592                    |

Table 7. ANOVA for the NL3 growth model.

| Source         | Standard F | P values |  |
|----------------|------------|----------|--|
| Model          | 240.60     | <0.0001  |  |
| Lack of Fit    | 3.52       | 0.1276   |  |
| $\mathbb{R}^2$ | 0.9968     |          |  |

Note: standard F: standard Fisher; Lack of Fit: standard for evaluating the incompatibility of the model with practice; R<sup>2</sup>: regressive coefficient.

Table 7 demonstrates the significance and compatibility of the formulated model. The significance value of the model was P value < 0.0001 < 0.05. Therefore, the model was selected with a regressive coefficient of  $R^2 = 0.9968$ , showing that the practical data were compatible with the predicted model.

On the other hand, the expectation function method optimized the bacterial density of the NL3 strain. In total, 43 options were found, and the best one for the maximum NL3 density function was 5.44 days of culture at 32.18°C and pH = 7.10 (Fig. 3), at which the maximum bacterial density was  $9.77929 \times 10^8$  CFU/ mL (Fig. 4). However, the optimum growth observed in the study by Mukhtar *et al.* [29] was at pH 8 and 30°C. This could be the different species or the different location of origin. In Thai Nguyen, the temperature is moderately high, and the soil is acidic [17, 30], leading to a lower optimum pH and higher optimum temperature of *Azotobacter* sp. in this study.



Fig. (3). The predicted model and the optimal condition for the maximum bacterial density of the NL3 strain.



7

Fig. 4 contd.....



Fig. (4). Response surface of the NL3 bacterial density.

Note: (a) The interactive model between culture duration and temperature; (b) the interactive model between culture duration and pH; (c) the interactive model between culture temperature and pH.

#### **3.3. Biochemical Function of the NL3 Strain**

The NL3 showed a positive trait of producing alkaline phosphatase, esterase, lipase, leucine arylamidase, valine arylamidase, phosphatase acid, naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase, and D-glucosidase (Table 8). Moreover, the NL3 strain was proven to be able to produce indole, catalase, and oxidase to assimilate esculin ferric citrate, D-glucose, D-mannitol, potassium gluconate, capric acid, malic acid, trisodium citrate, L-rhamnose, inositol, D-saccharose, lactic acid, glycogen, D-melibiose, D-sorbitol, valeric acid, potassium 2-ketogluconate, 3-hydroxybutyric acid, 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, and D-fructose and perform red methyl reaction, Voges-Proskauer reaction, and  $H_2S$  production (Table 9). In the current study, the bacterial strain NL3 can perform glucose fermentation,  $H_2O_2$ 

naturalization, citrate and indole utilization, and urease production [28].

#### 3.4. Genomic Study of the NL3 Strain

The result of the before and after purification is described in Table **10**. The total number of sequences before and after purification showed that the Illumina sequencing score had a Q30 rate above 80%, which is qualified for the de novo assembly [31].

The assembly result is displayed in Table **11**, which illustrates that the total length of contigs was 5,378,928 bp and suitable for the NL3's genome size (roughly 5 Mb). The longest contig was 5,378,928 bp long, accounting for 100% of the total contig length. This is consistent with the study by Setubal *et al.* [32], where the length of an *Azotobacter* sp. was roughly 5.4 Mb.

| No. | Enzyme Production    | NL3 |
|-----|----------------------|-----|
| 1   | Control              | -   |
| 2   | Alkaline phosphatase | +   |
| 3   | Esterase (C4)        | +   |
| 4   | Esterase Lipase (C8) | -   |
| 5   | Lipase (C14)         | +   |
| 6   | Leucine arylamidase  | +   |
| 7   | Valine arylamidase   | +   |
| 8   | Cystine arylamidase  | -   |

#### Table 8. Enzyme production by the NL3 strain.

## $N_2$ -fixing and IAA-producing Bacteria in Mountainous Regions

(Table 8) contd.....

| No. | Enzyme Production               | NL3 |
|-----|---------------------------------|-----|
| 9   | Trypsin                         | -   |
| 10  | D-chymotrypsin                  | -   |
| 11  | Acid phosphatase                | +   |
| 12  | Naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase | +   |
| 13  | D-galactosidase                 | +   |
| 14  | ß-galactosidase                 | -   |
| 15  | ß-glucuronidase                 | -   |
| 16  | D-glucosidase                   | +   |
| 17  | ß-glucosidase                   | -   |
| 18  | N-acetyl-ß-glucosaminidase      | -   |
| 19  | D-mannosidase                   | -   |
| 20  | D-fucosidase                    | -   |

## Table 9. Some biochemical traits of the NL3 strain.

| No. | Biochemical Index                            | Activity |
|-----|--|----------|
| 1   | Convert nitrate to nitrite                   | -        |
| 2   | Produce indole                               | +        |
| 3   | Ferment D-glucose                            | -        |
| 4   | Assimilate L-arginine                        | -        |
| 5   | Assimilate urea                              | -        |
| 6   | Assimilate esculin ferric citrate            | +        |
| 7   | Assimilate gelatin                           | -        |
| 8   | Assimilate 4-nitrophenyl-β D-glucopyranoside | -        |
| 9   | Assimilate D-glucose                         | +        |
| 10  | Assimilate L-arabinose                       | -        |
| 11  | Assimilate D-mannose                         | -        |
| 12  | Assimilate D-mannitol                        | +        |
| 13  | Assimilate N-acetyl-glucosamine              | -        |
| 14  | Assimilate D-maltose                         | -        |
| 15  | Assimilate potassium gluconate               | +        |
| 16  | Assimilate capric acid                       | +        |
| 17  | Assimilate adipic acid                       | -        |
| 18  | Assimilate malic acid                        | +        |
| 19  | Assimilate trisodium citrate                 | +        |
| 20  | Assimilate phenylacetic acid                 | -        |
| 21  | Assimilate L-rhamnose                        | +        |
| 22  | Assimilate D-ribose                          | -        |
| 23  | Assimilate inositol                          | +        |
| 24  | Assimilate D-saccharose                      | +        |
| 25  | Assimilate Itaconic acid                     | -        |
| 26  | Assimilate suberic acid                      | -        |
| 27  | Assimilate sodium malonate                   | -        |
| 28  | Assimilate sodium acetate                    | -        |
| 29  | Assimilate lactic acid                       | +        |
| 30  | Assimilate L-alanine                         | -        |
| 31  | Assimilate potassium 5-ketogluconate         | -        |
| 32  | Assimilate glycogen                          | +        |
| 33  | Assimilate 3-hydroxybenzoic acid             | -        |
| 34  | Assimilate L-serine                          | -        |
| 35  | Assimilate salicin                           | -        |
| 36  | Assimilate D-melibiose                       | +        |
| 37  | Assimilate L-fucose                          | -        |
| 38  | Assimilate D-sorbitol                        | +        |
| 39  | Assimilate propionic acid                    | -        |

#### 10 The Open Agriculture Journal, 2024, Vol. 18

Tran et al.

| (Table 9) contd |                                      |          |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| No.             | Biochemical Index                    | Activity |
| 40              | Assimilate valeric acid              | +        |
| 41              | Assimilate L-histidine               | -        |
| 42              | Assimilate potassium 2-ketogluconate | +        |
| 43              | Assimilate 3-hydroxybutyric acid     | +        |
| 44              | Assimilate 4-hydroxybenzoic acid     | +        |
| 45              | Assimilate L-proline                 | -        |
| 46              | Assimilate D-xylose                  | -        |
| 47              | Assimilate D-fructose                | +        |
| 48              | Assimilate lactose                   | -        |
| 49              | Catalase activity                    | +        |
| 50              | Oxidase activity                     | +        |
| 51              | Red methyl reaction                  | +        |
| 52              | Voges-Proskauer reaction             | +        |
| 53              | Produce H <sub>2</sub> S             | +        |

# Table 10. The sequencing quality of genes of the NL3 strain by the Illumina technique.

| Sample                | The Number of Read | Base Total<br>(bp) | Length<br>(bp) | %GC  | % Q30 |  |  |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------|-------|--|--|
| Before purification   |                    |                    |                |      |       |  |  |
| Forward sequencing R1 | 1,953,179          | 294,930,029        | 35-151         | 64.8 | 95.0  |  |  |
| Reverse sequencing R2 | 1,953,179          | 294,930,029        | 35-151         | 64.8 | 94.3  |  |  |
| After purification    |                    |                    |                |      |       |  |  |
| Forward sequencing R1 | 1,870,981          | 282,416,622        | 35-151         | 64.7 | 96.2  |  |  |
| Reverse sequencing R2 | 1,870,981          | 282,416,622        | 35-151         | 64.8 | 95.6  |  |  |

# Table 11. The de novo assembly of the NL3 strain.

| Genomic Characteristics                                 | Quantity  |
|---|-----------|
| The number of contigs                                   | 1         |
| The number of circles contigs                           | 1         |
| %GC (contigs >500 bp)                                   | 65.65     |
| Total length of contigs (bp)                            | 5,378,928 |
| The longest contig length (bp)                          | 5,378,928 |
| N50 value (contigs >500 bp)                             | 5,378,928 |
| L50 value (contigs >500 bp)                             | 1         |
| CDS   | 5321      |
| tRNA  | 64        |
| rRNA  | 18        |
| The number of protein functional coding genes:          | -         |
| Hypothesis protein                                      | 1233      |
| Functional protein                                      | 4088      |
| Protein with EC indicator                               | 1232      |
| Protein with GO arrangement                             | 1059      |
| Signaling proteins                                      | 935       |
| Systematic protein                                      | 1710      |
| Protein for PLfam, especially for the PATRIC genus      | 5200      |
| Protein with the family-genus mission of PATRIC (PGfam) | 5205      |
| Protein with FIGfam mission                             | 0         |



Fig. (5). Genomic map of the NL3 strain.

| No.  | Function/Pathway  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Genes relating to N <sub>2</sub> fixation: |   |  |  |  |
| 1  | Nitrogenase (molybdenum-iron)-specific transcriptional regulator NifA           |  |  |  |
| 2  | Nitrogenase (iron-iron) transcriptional regulator                               |  |  |  |
| 3  | Nitrogenase-associated protein NifO   |  |  |  |
| 4  | Nitrogenase (iron-iron) transcriptional regulator                               |  |  |  |
| 5  | AnfO protein, required for Mo- and V-independent nitrogenase                    |  |  |  |
| 6  | AnfR protein, required for Mo- and V-independent nitrogenase                    |  |  |  |
| 7  | Nitrogenase (iron-iron) beta chain (EC 1.18.6.1)                                |  |  |  |
| 8  | Nitrogenase (iron-iron) alpha chain (EC 1.18.6.1)                               |  |  |  |
| 9  | Nitrogenase (iron-iron) delta chain (EC 1.18.6.1)                               |  |  |  |
| 10   | Nitrogenase (iron-iron) reductase and maturation protein AnfH                   |  |  |  |
| 11   | Nitrogenase (iron-iron) transcriptional regulator                               |  |  |  |
| 12   | Nitrogenase (molybdenum-iron)-specific transcriptional regulator NifA           |  |  |  |
| 13   | 4Fe-4S ferredoxin, nitrogenase-associated                                       |  |  |  |
| 14   | Nitrogenase FeMo-cofactor synthesis FeS core scaffold and assembly protein NifB |  |  |  |
| 15   | Nitrogenase-associated protein NifO   |  |  |  |
| 16   | Nitrogenase FeMo-cofactor synthesis molybdenum delivery protein NifQ            |  |  |  |
| 17   | Nitrogenase (molybdenum-iron) reductase and maturation protein NifH             |  |  |  |
| 18   | Nitrogenase (molybdenum-iron) alpha chain (EC 1.18.6.1)                         |  |  |  |
| 19   | Nitrogenase (molybdenum-iron) beta chain (EC 1.18.6.1)                          |  |  |  |
| 20   | NifT protein  |  |  |  |
| 21   | Nitrogenase FeMo-cofactor scaffold and assembly protein NifE                    |  |  |  |
| 22   | LRV (FeS)4 cluster domain protein clustered with nitrogenase cofactor synthesis |  |  |  |

6

7

| Table 12) cor                    | rtd  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No.                              | Function/Pathway   |  |  |  |  |
| 23                               | Nitrogenase FeMo-cofactor scaffold and assembly protein NifN               |  |  |  |  |
| 24                               | Nitrogenase FeMo-cofactor carrier protein NifX                             |  |  |  |  |
| 25                               | NifX-associated protein  |  |  |  |  |
| 26                               | Uncharacterized protein RPC_4456   |  |  |  |  |
| 27                               | Iron-sulfur cluster assembly scaffold protein NifU                         |  |  |  |  |
| 28                               | Probable iron-binding protein from the HesB_IscA_SufA family in Nif operon |  |  |  |  |
| 29                               | Cysteine desulfurase (EC 2.8.1.7) => NifS                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 30                               | Nitrogenase stabilizing/protective protein NifW                            |  |  |  |  |
| 31                               | Nitrogenase vanadium-cofactor synthesis protein VnfY                       |  |  |  |  |
| 32                               | NifZ protein   |  |  |  |  |
| 33                               | Homocitrate synthase (EC 2.3.3.14)   |  |  |  |  |
| 34                               | Nitrogenase (vanadium-iron) beta chain (EC 1.18.6.1)                       |  |  |  |  |
| 35                               | Nitrogenase (molybdenum-iron) reductase and maturation protein NifH        |  |  |  |  |
| 36                               | Nitrogenase (vanadium-iron) alpha chain (EC 1.18.6.1)                      |  |  |  |  |
| 37                               | Nitrogenase vanadium-cofactor synthesis protein VnfX                       |  |  |  |  |
| 38                               | Nitrogenase (vanadium-iron) delta chain (EC 1.18.6.1)                      |  |  |  |  |
| 39                               | 4Fe-4S ferredoxin, nitrogenase-associated                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 40                               | Nitrogenase vanadium-cofactor synthesis protein VnfN                       |  |  |  |  |
| 41                               | Nitrogenase vanadium-cofactor synthesis protein VnfE                       |  |  |  |  |
| 42                               | Electron transfer flavoprotein, beta subunit FixA                          |  |  |  |  |
| 43                               | Electron transfer flavoprotein, alpha subunit FixB                         |  |  |  |  |
| 44                               | Electron transfer flavoprotein-quinone oxidoreductase FixC                 |  |  |  |  |
| 45                               | Ferredoxin-like protein FixX   |  |  |  |  |
| Gens relating to IAA production: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1                                | Indole-3-acetate_biosynthesis_I  |  |  |  |  |
| 2                                | Indole-3-acetate_biosynthesis_III  |  |  |  |  |
| 3                                | Indole-3-acetate_biosynthesis_IV   |  |  |  |  |
| 4                                | Indole-3-acetate_biosynthesis_V  |  |  |  |  |
| 5                                | L-tryptophan degradation VII   |  |  |  |  |



L-tryptophan\_degradation\_X

Fig. (6). Neighbor-joining tree of strain NL3 and closely related species based on 16S rRNA gene sequence. Numbers at nodes indicate levels of bootstrap support (%) based on a neighbor-joining analysis of 1,000 resampled datasets; only values  $\geq$  50% were given. Bar, 0.005 substitutions per site.

The genomic map of the NL3 strain is built in Fig. (5). The analysis results show that from the center outward, circle 1 illustrates the tilt of the GC. Circle 2 showed the GC content (peaks outside or inside of the circle indicate values that were higher or lower than the average G+C content, respectively). Circle 3 represents the ncRNA gene. Circles 4, 5, and 6 represent CDS, with colors according to the COG, KEGG, and GO categories, respectively. Circle 7 represents the functionally predicted protein-coding sequences.

According to Fig. (6), the NL3 strain was identified as an *Azotobacter* sp. with an accession number of OR125577, which was closely related to the *Azotobacter*  *vinelandii* IAM  $15004^{T}$  strain.

# 3.5. Primary Analysis of Genetic Structures for $N_{\rm 2}$ Fixation and IAA Production of the Azotobacter sp. NL3

Based on the genome of the NL3 strain, 45 genes were found relating to  $N_2$  fixation by the NL3 strain. The result is presented in Table **12** and Fig. (7) with *nif* and *fix* genes that directly participated in  $N_2$ -fixation. Furthermore, genomic analysis of the NL3 strain also provided 07 genes participating in IAA metabolism and referred in the MetaCyc database (Table **12** and Fig. **8**).



Fig. (7). N<sub>2</sub> fixation of the *Azotobacter* sp. NL3 strain.



Fig. (8). IAA production of the Azotobacter sp. NL3 strain.

#### CONCLUSION

From acidic soils in some communes of Thai Nguyen province, Vietnam, ten isolates of NFB were found. Among them, the NL3 strain performed the greatest  $N_2$  fixation and IAA production (roughly 24.61 and 119.13 µg/ml, respectively). The optimum pH and temperature were correspondingly 7.10 and 32.18°C for the maximum bacterial density of 9.77929x10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml according to the Box-Behnken-based growth function as follows: Y = +9.1+ 1.69\*X1 + 0.066\*X2 + 1.8\*X3 + 0.37\*X1\*X2 + 1.45\*X1\*X3 - 0.47\*X2\*X3 - 2.08\*X1<sup>2</sup> - 2.33\*X2<sup>2</sup> - 3.66\*X3<sup>2</sup>. Furthermore, the NL3 strain was identified as an Azotobacter sp. with a nearly 5.4 Mb long genome containing 45 N<sub>2</sub>-fixing genes and 7 IAA-producing genes. The newly isolated *Azotobacter* sp. is promising to improve soil fertility and crop productivity and reduce the use of chemical fertilizer on acidic soil for sustainable agriculture. However, the study did not measure the plant growth promotion and soil remediation by the selected bacteria. We will conduct those in the future study. Nevertheless, there are difficulties in selecting appropriate carriers for bacteria to survive under field conditions, determining reasonable costs for farmers' use, and raising farmers' awareness of the importance of using

biofertilizers rather than chemical fertilizers.

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| OD = | Optical | Density |
|------|---------|---------|
|------|---------|---------|

- SEM = Scanning Electron Microscope
- NFB = Nitrogen-fixing Bacteria

#### **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

Not applicable.

#### **AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, [H.A], on special request.

#### **FUNDING**

The study was funded by the National Science Project of the Vietnam Ministry of Science and Technology, Awards/Grant number [NVQG-2021/DT.04].

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mahmud K, Makaju S, Ibrahim R, Missaoui A. Current progress in nitrogen fixing plants and microbiome research. Plants 2020; 9(1):
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/plants9010097 PMID: 31940996
- [2] Singh RK, Singh P, Li HB, et al. Diversity of nitrogen-fixing rhizobacteria associated with sugarcane: A comprehensive study of plant-microbe interactions for growth enhancement in Saccharum spp. BMC Plant Biol 2020; 20(1): 220. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12870-020-02400-9 PMID: 32423383
- [3] Ghafoor I, Habib-ur-Rahman M, Ali M, et al. Slow-release nitrogen fertilizers enhance growth, yield, NUE in wheat crop and reduce nitrogen losses under an arid environment. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2021; 28(32): 43528-43.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-13700-4 PMID: 33834341
- [4] Bisht N, Chauhan PS. Excessive and disproportionate use of chemicals cause soil contamination and nutritional stress. In: Marcelo LL, Sonia S, Eds. Soil contamination-threats and sustainable solutions 2020; 1-0. http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.94593
- [5] Aasfar A, Bargaz A, Yaakoubi K, et al. Nitrogen fixing Azotobacter species as potential soil biological enhancers for crop nutrition and yield stability. Front Microbiol 2021; 12: 628379. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.628379 PMID: 33717018
- [6] Wang Y, Lu Y. Evaluating the potential health and economic effects of nitrogen fertilizer application in grain production systems of China. J Clean Prod 2020; 264: 121635. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121635
- [7] Patel N, Srivastav AL, Patel A, et al. Nitrate contamination in water resources, human health risks and its remediation through adsorption: A focused review. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2022; 29(46): 69137-52.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-22377-2 PMID: 35947260

- [8] Glibert PM. From hogs to HABs: impacts of industrial farming in the US on nitrogen and phosphorus and greenhouse gas pollution. Biogeochemistry 2020; 150(2): 139-80. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10533-020-00691-6 PMID: 32836587
- [9] Menegat S, Ledo A, Tirado R. Greenhouse gas emissions from global production and use of nitrogen synthetic fertilisers in agriculture. Sci Rep 2022; 12(1): 14490. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-18773-w PMID: 36008570
- [10] Wang X, Fan J, Xing Y, et al. The effects of mulch and nitrogen fertilizer on the soil environment of crop plants. Adv Agron 2019; 153: 121-73. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2018.08.003
- [11] Harindintwali JD, Zhou J, Yu X. Lignocellulosic crop residue composting by cellulolytic nitrogen-fixing bacteria: A novel tool for environmental sustainability. Sci Total Environ 2020; 715: 136912.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136912 PMID: 32014770

- [12] Li Y, Pan F, Yao H. Response of symbiotic and asymbiotic nitrogen-fixing microorganisms to nitrogen fertilizer application. J Soils Sediments 2019; 19(4): 1948-58. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11368-018-2192-z
- [13] Li Q, Chen S. Transfer of nitrogen fixation (nif) genes to nondiazotrophic hosts. ChemBioChem 2020; 21(12): 1717-22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201900784 PMID: 32009294
- [14] diCenzo GC, Tesi M, Pfau T, Mengoni A, Fondi M. Genome-scale metabolic reconstruction of the symbiosis between a leguminous plant and a nitrogen-fixing bacterium. Nat Commun 2020; 11(1): 2574.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16484-2 PMID: 32444627

[15] Patil HJ, Solanki MK. Microbial inoculant: Modern era of fertilizers and pesticides. In: Singh D, Singh H, Prabha R, Eds.

Microbial inoculants in sustainable agricultural productivity 2016; 319-43.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2647-5 19

[16] Wagi S, Ahmed A. Bacillus spp.: Potent microfactories of bacterial IAA. PeerJ 2019; 7: e7258.

http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7258 PMID: 31372316

- [17] Huu Chien H, Tokuda M, Van Minh D, Kang Y, Iwasaki K, Tanaka S. Soil physicochemical properties in a high-quality tea production area of Thai Nguyen province in northern region, Vietnam. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 2019; 65(1): 73-81. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2018.1539310
- [18] Minh DV, Anderson DW. Application of soil taxonomy into mountainous zone of Thai Nguyen province, Northern of Vietnam. Vietnam Soil Sci 2021; 48: 26-30.
- [19] Neina D. The role of soil pH in plant nutrition and soil remediation. Appl Environ Soil Sci 2019; 2019: 1-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2019/5794869
- [20] Nguyen BT, Le LB, Pham LP, Nguyen HT, Tran TD, Van Thai N. The effects of biochar on the biomass yield of elephant grass (Pennisetum Purpureum Schumach) and properties of acidic soils. Ind Crops Prod 2021; 161: 113224. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.113224
- [21] Nguyen H, Schoenau JJ, Van Rees KCJ, Nguyen D, Qian P. Longterm nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization of cassava influences soil chemical properties in North Vietnam. Can J Soil Sci 2001; 81(4): 481-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.4141/S00-048
- [22] Fang K, Bao ZSN, Chen L, et al. Growth-promoting characteristics of potential nitrogen-fixing bacteria in the root of an invasive plant Ageratina adenophora. PeerJ 2019; 7: e7099. http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7099 PMID: 31223534
- [23] Haerani N, Syam'Un E, Rasyid B, Haring F. Isolation and characterization of N-fixing and IAA producing rhizobacteria from two rice field agro-ecosystems in South Sulawesi, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 2021; 22(5): 2497-503. http://dx.doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d220506
- [24] Zhang X, Tong J, Dong M, Akhtar K, He B. Isolation, identification and characterization of nitrogen fixing endophytic bacteria and their effects on cassava production. PeerI 2022: 10: e12677. http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.12677 PMID: 35127278
- [25] Khuong NQ, Kantachote D, Nookongbut P, Onthong J, Thanh Xuan LN, Sukhoom Α. Mechanisms of acid-resistant Rhodopseudomonas palustris strains to ameliorate acidic stress and promote plant growth. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 2020; 24: 101520.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2020.101520

- [26] Tang A, Haruna AO, Majid NMA, Jalloh MB. Potential PGPR properties of cellulolytic, nitrogen-fixing, phosphate-solubilizing bacteria in rehabilitated tropical forest soil. Microorganisms 2020; 8(3): 442. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8030442 PMID: 32245141
- [27] Hindersah R, Kamaluddin NN, Samanta S, Banerjee S, Sarkar S. Role and perspective of Azotobacter in crops production. SAINS TANAH J Soil Sci Agro 2020; 17(2): 170-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.20961/stjssa.v17i2.45130
- [28] Ramadhan ZK, Issa FA. The screening Azotobacter spp., bioavailability from four ecological systems in Zakho. Kurdistan region-Iraq Sci J Univ Zakho 2022; 10(4): 175-80.
- [29] Mukhtar H, Bashir H, Nawaz A, Hag I. Optimization of growth conditions for Azotobacter species and their use as biofertilizer. J Bact & Mycology: Open Access 2018; 6(5): 274-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.15406/jbmoa.2018.06.00217
- [30] Giang PN, Dung DV, Giang KB, Vinhc HV, Rocklöv J. The effect of temperature on cardiovascular disease hospital admissions among elderly people in Thai Nguyen Province, Vietnam. Glob Health Action 2014; 7(1): 23649.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/gha.v7.23649 PMID: 25511886

[31] Vidal-Dupiol J, Chaparro C, Pratlong M, Pontarotti P, Grunau C, Mitta G. Sequencing, de novo assembly and annotation of the genome of the scleractinian coral,  $Pocillopora\ acuta.$  bioRxiv 2019; 698688.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/698688 [32] Setubal JC, dos Santos P, Goldman BS, *et al.* Genome sequence of *Azotobacter vinelandii*, an obligate aerobe specialized to support diverse anaerobic metabolic processes. J Bacteriol 2009; 191(14): 4534-45.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00504-09 PMID: 19429624