1874-3315/22



Plant Adaptation to Changing Environment and its Enhancement

Yuriy E. Kolupaev^{1,2,*} and Yaroslav B. Blume³

¹Dokuchaev Kharkiv National Agrarian University Kharkiv, Ukraine ²Yuriev Plant Production Institute, National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine Kharkiv, Ukraine ³Institute of Food Biotechnology and Genomics, National Academy of Science of Ukraine Kyiv, Ukraine

Global climate changes on our planet are becoming increasingly tangible. Every single degree in global temperature growth induces a decrease in global yields of major crops by 3-8%. Drought causes even more significant losses in crop productivity. Namely, a 40% decrease in the water supply compared to the optimal level causes a 20-40% drop in the grain crop yields [1]. At the same time, it is predicted that by 2050, the freshwater demand in agriculture may double, while its availability may decrease due to climate change by 50% [2]. A separate aggravating challenge is the increased impact of xenobiotics, particularly heavy metals, on plants [3]. Therefore, resistance to these stresses has become central in maintaining yields and product quality.

Another problem triggered by climate change is the penetration of invasive species of pathogens into agrophytocenoses, and their rapid spread, as well as an increase in the negative impact of biotic factors already present in agroecosystems [4, 5].

These challenges and ways to solve them were discussed at the First International Scientific Conference "Plant Stress and Adaptation", organized in February 2021 by the V.V. Dokuchaev Kharkiv National Agrarian University (Kharkiv, Ukraine) with the support of the All-Ukrainian Association of Plant Biologists and the Ukrainian Society of Plant Physiologists. More than 150 research outcomes from 15 countries were presented at the conference. The most interesting and relevant reports were selected for publication in a special issue of The Open Agriculture Journal entitled "Plant Adaptation to Changing Environment and its Enhancement". These articles were focused on two main approaches for improving plant resistance: (1) a physiological one, based on the induction of resistance by exogenous effects of environmentally friendly signaling molecules, phytohormones, and other physiologically active substances, and (2) a genetic approach, aimed at the search for and use of abiotic and biotic stress resistance donors.

Most living organisms react to various stress factors by activating their signaling systems, followed by the transfer of information about adverse effects to the genetic apparatus [6-9]. Such reaction facilitates the functioning of the defense mechanisms, mostly related to an antioxidant system [10, 11], stress protein synthesis [8], osmolyte accumulation [12], and structural changes in the cytoskeleton [13]. On the whole, the topics of stress signaling and formation of adaptive responses, as well as the identification of genes that determine plant resistance to stress factors of various nature, have become the key topics in the current special issue.

This edition is started with a review of the mechanisms gasotransmitters, i.e. signaling gaseous molecules (NO, CO, H₂S, etc.), action plants, thus protecting them from stress. The prospects and ways of the practical application of gasotransmitters' donors in crop production have also been assessed. The review has been prepared by a team of authors from the V.V. Dokuchaev Kharkiv National Agrarian University and the Institute of Food Biotechnology and Genomics at the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. The authors, in particular, emphasized the ability of the gasotransmitters to induce post-translational modifications of proteins in plants and functionally interact with each other and with other signaling mediators [14]. These effects are important for stimulating by gasotransmitters of plant adaptations to extreme temperatures, drought, salinity, UV-B and other factors.

In another review, prepared by the Department of Membranology and Phytochemistry at the M.G. Kholodny Institute of Botany at the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, the role of chloroplast respiration in plant adaptation to stress factors has been analyzed. This type of respiration acts as one of the alternative pathways of electron transfer in chloroplasts which reduces the likelihood of oxidative damage

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Dokuchaev Kharkiv National Agrarian University Kharkiv, Ukraine and Yuriev Plant Production Institute, National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine Kharkiv, Ukraine; E-mail: plant biology@ukr.net

2 The Open Agriculture Journal, 2022, Volume 16

in plants under stress conditions and maintains ATP levels in the dark [15].

A number of original articles have presented new research into the mechanisms of plant resistance to abiotic stress factors, as well as innovative, practical methods for inducing resistance. Namely, new data have been presented on the role of metabolomic rearrangements (changes in the content of a number of secondary and primary metabolites) in the adaptation of cereals to high and low temperatures [16]. The special role of ascorbate in the regulation of redox homeostasis of tobacco plants under the action of toxic doses of cadmium has been shown in [17]. The growth-stimulating effect of silver nanoparticles synthesized using "green" technologies on *Betula pendula* has also been demonstrated [18], which allows us to consider them as a fundamentally new group of environmentally friendly regulators of plant growth and resistance.

A series of articles have been devoted to the development of new approaches to enhancing plant resistance to diseases. In particular, Kabashnikova *et al.* [19] article assesses the changes in chloroplast activity as a criterion for controlling plant immunity to fungal diseases. The reported data indicate that the state of chloroplasts in the tissues of barley leaves differs at different stages of development, and the response to fungal contamination differs primarily by the activity of PS II, the total content of ROS and polyphenols. The authors believe that these differences may be due to the interaction of signals of different origins, including the contribution of plastids associated with photosynthetic function and also, probably, hormonal signals.

Manzhalesava *et al.* [20] reviewed the results of the induction of plant resistance to fungal diseases using new brassinosteroid phytohormone derivatives – their conjugates with succinic acid. It has been shown that succinic acid enhances the protective effect of brassinosteroids on plants against fungal infection (*Helminthosporium teres*) when used as conjugates and in mechanical mixtures. The effect is the result of the combined cell-stimulating and fungistatic action of succinic acid and brassinosteroids and can be used to increase the resistance and productivity of spring barley plants.

Motsnyi *et al.* [21] assessed the phenotypic diversity of new introgressive wheat lines in terms of resistance to common diseases and drought. As a result of crosses and backcrosses of various primary sources of alien traits with modern wheat varieties, the authors obtained breeding introgressive lines with alien genetic complexes of disease resistance and high protein content. In particular, high long-term resistance to stem rust was noted mainly in derivatives of the H74/90-245 wheat line.

Radchenko *et al.* [22] studied the possibility of using DNA markers to identify genes of resistance of cereals to fungal diseases. Using the codominant marker *cssfr5*, based on detecting a polymorphic state of one of the exons of the *Lr34/Yr18/Sr57/Pm38/Bdv1* gene, the *Lr34(+)* allele, conferring resistance to leaf rust, was found in 25% of the studied varieties. The matching results obtained with *cssfr5* and *csLV34* markers was 84.5%. The data obtained can be used in breeding programs to create breeding lines and new varieties

resistant to leaf rust.

The review and original articles presented in the special issue will be of interest to physiologists, biochemists and molecular biologists dealing with plant resistance to stress, as well as to specialists in innovative developments in the field of plant growing.

REFERENCES

- Daryanto S, Wang L, Jacinthe PA. Global synthesis of drought effects on maize and wheat production. PLoS One 2016; 11(5): e0156362. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0156362] [PMID: 27223810]
- Gupta A, Rico-Medina A, Caño-Delgado AI. The physiology of plant responses to drought. Science 2020; 368(6488): 266-9.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz7614] [PMID: 32299946]
- [3] Singh S, Parihar P, Singh R, Singh VP, Prasad SM. Heavy metal tolerance in plants: Role of transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and ionomics. Front Plant Sci 2016; 6: 1143. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.01143] [PMID: 26904030]
- [4] Chaloner TM, Gurr SJ, Bebber DP. Plant pathogen infection risk tracks global crop yields under climate change. Nat Clim Chang 2021; 11(8): 710-5.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01104-8]
- [5] Velásquez AC, Castroverde CDM, He SY. Plant–pathogen warfare under changing climate conditions. Curr Biol 2018; 28(10): R619-34. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.03.054] [PMID: 29787730]
- [6] Hou Q, Ufer G, Bartels D. Lipid signalling in plant responses to abiotic stress. Plant Cell Environ 2016; 39(5): 1029-48.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/pce.12666] [PMID: 26510494]
- [7] Choudhury FK, Rivero RM, Blumwald E, Mittler R. Reactive oxygen species, abiotic stress and stress combination. Plant J 2017; 90(5): 856-67.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13299] [PMID: 27801967]

[8] Li B, Gao K, Ren H, Tang W. Molecular mechanisms governing plant responses to high temperatures. J Integr Plant Biol 2018; 60(9): 757-79.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12701] [PMID: 30030890]

- [9] dos Santos TB, Ribas AF, de Souza SGH, Budzinski IGF, Domingues DS. Physiological responses to drought, salinity, and heat stress in plants: a review. Stresses 2022; 2(1): 113-35. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/stresses20100091
- [10] Kolupaev YE, Karpets YV, Kabashnikova LF. Antioxidative system of plants: Cellular compartmentalization, protective and signaling functions, mechanisms of regulation. Appl Biochem Microbiol 2019; 55(5): 441-59.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S0003683819050089]
- [11] Hasanuzzaman M, Bhuyan MHM, Zulfiqar F, et al. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant defense in plants under abiotic stress: Revisiting the crucial role of a universal defense regulator. Antioxidants 2020; 9(8): 681.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/antiox9080681] [PMID: 32751256]

- [12] Nawaz F, Majeed S, Ahmad KS, et al. Use of osmolytes in improving abiotic stress tolerance to wheat (Triticum aestivum L) In: Hasanuzzaman Met al (eds). Wheat Production in Changing Environments, Springer Nature Singapore 2019; pp. 497-519.
- [13] Plohovska SH, Krasylenko YA, Yemets AI. Nitric oxide modulates actin filament organization in *Arabidopsis thaliana* primary root cells at low temperatures. Cell Biol Int 2019; 43(9): 1020-30. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbin.10931] [PMID: 29288589]
- [14] Kolupaev YuE, Karpets YuV, Shkliarevskyi MA, et al. Gasotransmitters in plants: Mechanisms of participation in adaptive responses. Open Agric J 2022; 16: e187433152207050. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/18743315-v16-e2207050]
- [15] Zolotareva EK, Polishchuk OV. Chlororespiration as a protective stress-inducible electron transport pathway in chloroplasts. Open Agric J 2022; 16

[http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/18743315-v16-e2208151]

- [16] Romanenko KO, Babenko LM, Smirnov OE, Kosakivska IV. Antioxidant protection system and photosynthetic pigment composition in secale cereale subjected to short-term temperature stresses. Open Agric J 2022; 16: e187433152206273. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/18743315-v16-e2206273]
- [17] Buzduga IM, Salamon I, Volkov RA, Panchuk II. Rapid accumulation of cadmium and antioxidative response in tobacco leaves. Open Agric

J 2022; 16: e187433152206271.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/18743315-v16-e2206271]

- [18] Przhevalskaya DA, Bandarenka UY, Shashko AY, et al. Effect of silver nanoparticles synthesized by 'green' methods on the growth of *in vitro* culture of *Betula pendula* L. whole plants. Open Agric J 2022; 16: e187433152206270.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/18743315-v16-e2206270]
 [19] Kabashnikova LF, Savchenko GE, Abramchik LM, *et al.* Helminthosporiosis impact on the photosynthetic apparatus and the oxydative status of barley seedlings at different stages of development. Open Agric J 2022; 16: e187433152206200.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/18743315-v16-e2206200]
- [20] Manzhalesava NE, Litvinovskaya RP, Poljanskaja SN, Khripach VA.

The effect of phytohormonal steroids in combination with succinic acid on the resistance of *Hordeum vulgare* L. to *Helminthosporium teres* Sacc. Open Agric J 2022; 16: e187433152207130. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/18743315-v16-e2207130]

- [21] Motsnyi II, Molodchenкova OO, Nargan TP, et al. Impact of alien genes on disease resistance, drought tolerance, and agronomic traits in winter wheat commercial varieties. Open Agric J 2022; 16 [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1874196702109010040]
- [22] Radchenko OM, Sandetska NV, Morgun BV, *et al.* Screening of the bread wheat varieties for the leaf rust resistance gene Lr34/Yr18/Sr57/Pm38/Bdv1. The Open Agriculture Journal 2022; 16: e187433152206271.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/18743315-v16-e2206272]

© 2022 Kolupaev and Blume.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.