





The Open Agriculture Journal

Content list available at: <https://openagriculturejournal.com>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Evaluation of the Effects of New Environmental Additives Compared to Mineral Fertilizers on the Leaching Characteristics of Some Anions and Cations under Greenhouse Plant Growth of Saline-Sodic Soils

Mohamed Hafez^{1,2,*} , Alexander I. Popov¹ and Mohamed Rashad² 

¹Department of Soil Science and Soil Ecology, Institute of Earth Sciences, Saint Petersburg State University, Embankment, 7/9, Saint Petersburg, 199034, Russia

²Land and Water Technologies Department, Arid Lands Cultivation Research Institute, City of Scientific Research and Technological Applications, New Borg El-Arab, 21934, Alexandria, Egypt

Abstract:

Aims:

The aim of this study was to determine and monitor the influences of organic and biological additives compared to mineral fertilizers on leaching characteristics of anions and cations, also to know more about the ability of these additives to make complexes with dissolved and toxic salts to decrease soil salinity.

Background:

Salt-affected soils comprise of saline and sodic soils which differ in origin, physico-chemical properties and the constraints to plant growth. Due to the presence of excess soluble salts (e.g. sodium (Na⁺) and chlorides (Cl⁻)).

Methods:

Ten treatments were established, including two levels of spent grain (environmental organic wastes from the beer industry), S1 (10 g of spent grain / kg soil) and S2 (20 g of spent grain / kg soil); two levels of compost M1 (10 g of compost / kg soil) and M2 (20 g of compost / kg soil); mixed M1 with S1 (M1S1); inoculation of *Azospirillum brasilense* (A1); inoculation A1 with S2 (A1S1); inoculation A1 with M1 (A1M1); 20:20:20 of N, P, and K fertilizers (NPK), and control (CK, without any additives). All treatments were mixed with 30 kg soil pots under greenhouse conditions, corn (*Zea mays* L.) seeds were sown in the soil pots. The most relevant nitrogen and salt in soil leachates were collected and analysed every 20 days for 100 days. The soil leachates were collected under plant growth from pots by closed system.

Results:

The result revealed that organic additives such as A1 and S2 treatments effectively decreased soil pH, soil EC, and reduced NaCl concentration in soil leachates. The Ca²⁺ and K⁺ cations in the soil leachates were not stable at high levels of organic additives. Soluble NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ were significantly lower in A1, S2, and A1S1 treatments than in NPK, M2, and CK treatments. Soil treatment with A1 and S2 significantly improved the soil chemical environment by increasing the Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and soluble and exchangeable-K⁺ and thus limited entry of Na⁺ into the exchange complex in soil and consists complex with soluble Na⁺ as sodium humate form.

Conclusion:

In the final, the highest nitrogen use efficiency with the least NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ losses in saline-sodic soil was also found in S2 and A1 treatments. Moreover, under this bio-organic fertilization way, NO₃⁻ concentrations in soil leachates was outside of danger of damaging the environment. Thus, spent grain with *Azospirillum* were suggested to be the optimal fertilizer with the lowest nitrogen leaching losses, best yield, quality, and the least groundwater environmental risk under corn (*Zea mays* L.) organic and bio-organic cultivation comparing with chemical cultivation.

Keywords: *Azospirillum*, Leachates, Greenhouse, New additives, Eco-friendly, Remediation.

Article History

Received: July 08, 2020

Revised: August 17, 2020

Accepted: August 21, 2020

1. INTRODUCTION

The ever increasing world population is worrisome in

relation to the fulfillment of global food requirements that may double by 2050. Over the same period, natural resources are

predicted as limiting because of rapid global climate change. Owing to the use of brackish irrigation water, water-logging, and natural subsoil salinity, fertile soils are becoming salt-affected and a major threat to sustainable food production in arid and semiarid areas of the world [1]. The term salinity refers to the accumulation of the specific amount of soluble salts (electrical conductivity (EC) $\geq 4 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$) in the root zone, which inhibit plant growth. Soils in which the sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) concentration is increased (SAR ≥ 13) at the exchange sites of the soil particles but with a low concentration of total soluble salts (EC $< 4 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$) are described as sodic soils. Those soils with high soluble salt concentrations EC $\geq 4 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$ and SAR ≥ 13 are termed saline-sodic soils [1].

Incorporation of Spent Grain (SG) is the organic waste from the beer industry. It is acidic and rich in organic matter and nutrients. In Egypt, spent grain has no value and is available at no cost all year. SG application in highly saline-sodic and alkaline soils improved the physico-chemical and biological properties as evident from significant reductions in soil pH and exchangeable sodium, Na⁺ chelation, increase in soil carbon and nitrogen and enhanced activity of urease enzyme [2]. Organic matter addition increased the soil structural stability, improved the filtration rate and enhanced the microbial biomass. Amended soils showed lower water-soluble of Na⁺ and better biochemical properties as compared to control plots [3].

Application of Plant Growth Rhizobacteria (PGPR), such as *Azospirillum brasilense* as a bio-fertilizers bacteria enhanced salt tolerance of salinized (4 g l⁻¹ NaCl) *Zea maise* L. *Azospirillum* inoculation led to decrease the concentrations of Na⁺, Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻-N in soil leachates and thus alleviated solubility of salt effect on biomass production [2, 4]. Soil organic and biological significantly improved the soil chemical environment by increasing the Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and soluble and exchangeable-K⁺ contents and thus limited entry of Na⁺ into the exchange complex in soil by sodium-humate. The concentrations of K⁺ and Ca²⁺ in soil leachates by these amendments also accounted for better crop nutrition and growth [5]. Application of organic amendments, such as compost and biochar, increased soil respiration rate and soil microbial bio-mass in saline soil, and significantly improved the cumulative SOC and total nitrogen in comparison to both gypsum application and control treatments [6, 7].

Organic wastes amendment positively affect the chemical and physical properties of the soil which include pH that led to direct absorption of NH₄⁺-N and NO₃⁻-N, great Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and improved Water Holding Capacity (WHC) of the soil, reducing the volume of leachate [8, 9]. Many early studies stated that effective soil management practices reduce nitrogen contamination, especially NH₄⁺-N and NO₃⁻-N and reduce pollution of agriculture wastewater

[10, 11]. It has been reported that bio and organic fertilizers affected positively on reducing NO₃⁻-N levels in soil leachates; however, there was an offset by the decrease in N mineralization and N soil fixation by microorganisms [12].

A new study has focused on a positive effect of SG and *Azospirillum* on NO₃⁻-N, Cl⁻, and Na⁺ levels in soil leachates and NH₄⁺ losses in calcareous soils [2, 13]. However, few studies on the role of SG and *Azospirillum* compering with mineral applications under the greenhouse were found [14, 15]. It is interesting to conduct a study to enhance nutrients availability and to reduce NO₃⁻-N leaching by using the application of spent grain with *Azospirillum* in soil under maize plant growth. The combined bio-spent grain additives with mineral fertilizers are considered to be necessary to promote plant productivity, decrease environmental risk, and protect nitrogen levels in the soil by lower NO₃⁻-N leaching in groundwater.

The aim of this study was to determine and monitor the influences of organic and biological additives compared to mineral fertilizers on the Na⁺, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, and other nutrients concentrations in soil leachates; also discover more about the ability of these additives to make complexes with dissolved and toxic salts to decreasing soil salinity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study Area and Soil Characterization

The study area has a continental climate condition with hot summer and wet winter. The lowest temperature values were pronounced in June and September (32°C and 25°C), whereas the maximum rainfall amount is approximately 14.7 mm/month in February [15]. The soil in the study has a clay loam texture and can be classified as calcareous saline-sodic soil. The samples of the air-dried soil were used for the physical and chemical parameters of the saline-sodic soil, as shown in Table 1.

2.2. Soil Additives and Experimental Design

Three types of treatments were used, the first one *Azospirillum brasilense* as the bio-fertilizer bacteria. The second was compost consisted of plant and animal wastes. The last one was spent grain, a by-product of the beer industry. The main characteristics of the organic wastes were determined according to the standard procedures, as shown in Table 2. Ten treatments were established, including two levels of spent grain, S2 (20 g kg⁻¹ soil) and S1 (10 g kg⁻¹ soil); two levels of compost, M2 (20 g kg⁻¹ soil) and M1 (10 g kg⁻¹ soil); a mix between M1 with S1 (M1S1); inoculation of *Azospirillum*, with seed and soil (A1); A1 + S1, (A1S1); A1 + M1 (A1M1); mineral fertilizers 20: 20: 20 of N, P, K (NPK), and control (CK, without fertilizers). All treatments were mixed with 30 kg soil pots under greenhouse conditions for the 120 days of corn seed sowing. The most relevant nitrogen leaching forms were collected and analysed every 20 days for the 100 days. The soil drainage was collected under the pots by a closed system.

* Address correspondence to this author at Department of Soil Science and Soil Ecology, Institute of Earth Sciences, Saint Petersburg State University, Embankment, 7/9, Saint Petersburg, 199034, Russia; E-mail: mhafez290@yahoo.com

Table 1. The physical and chemical parameters of saline-sodic soil used for the study.

Parameter	Saline-sodic Soil
pH (1:2.5 w: w)	8.84 ± 0.05
EC _e (dS m ⁻¹)	5.43 ± 0.10
Total N (gkg ⁻¹)	0.02 ± 0.001
Available P (mgkg ⁻¹)	1.20 ± 0.07
Available K ⁺ (mgkg ⁻¹)	78.1 ± 0.44
Total CaCO ₃ (%)	18.6 ± 1.35
CEC (cmol ⁺ kg ⁻¹)	7.56 ± 0.24
Organic Matter (g kg ⁻¹)	1.78 ± 0.21
Soil Organic Carbon (g kg ⁻¹)	1.03 ± 0.01
Total DOC* (%)	0.012 ± 0.003
ESP** (%)	53.1 ± 1.93
C:N Ratio	49.14 ± 2.1
Sand (%)	31.2 ± 0.11
Silt (%)	23.5 ± 0.21
Clay (%)	45.3 ± 0.18
Texture	Clay Loam
Micronutrients DTPA Extractible (mgkg⁻¹)	
Fe ²⁺	0.08 ± 0.01
Zn ²⁺	Nd*
Mn ²⁺	0.11 ± 0.020
Cu ²⁺	0.003 ± 0.01
B ⁺	Nd*
Cl	192 ± 0.166

Data correspond to means of four replicates ± standard deviation. Nd*= not detected
 DOC*= Dissolved Organic Carbon; ESP**= Exchangeable Sodium Percentage

Table 2. Compost and spent grain characteristics.

Parameter	Compost	Spent Grain
pH (1:5 w:w)	7.20 ± 0.01	4.16 ± 0.03
EC (dS/m, 1:5 w:w)	5.81 ± 0.21	1.45 ± 0.21
Organic Matter (g kg ⁻¹)	332 ± 1.23	750 ± 0.57
Total N (%)	2.10 ± 0.32	3.12 ± 0.68
Total P (%)	1.03 ± 0.52	1.86 ± 0.54
Total K (%)	0.57 ± 0.01	1.74 ± 0.63
C: N ratio	9.16 ± 0.35	13.9 ± 0.12
Organic carbon (%)	19.25 ± 0.12	43.5 ± 0.94
Fe ²⁺ (mgkg ⁻¹)	960 ± 2.97	1130 ± 3.87
Zn ²⁺ (mgkg ⁻¹)	220 ± 5.34	368 ± 2.34
Mn ²⁺ (mgkg ⁻¹)	100 ± 2.14	210 ± 1.98
Cu ⁺ (mgkg ⁻¹)	61 ± 1.21	98 ± 1.54

The experiment was conducted in a greenhouse during the corn (*Zea Mays L.*) growing season. Ten treatments with three replicates were carried out, namely S2, M2, S1, M1, A1, A1S1, A1M1, S1M1, NPK, and CK. The experimental area then consisted of 30 pots, each post 30 kg soil, and these 30 pots were arranged as split plots in a randomized complete block with a 30 cm isolation strip in order to avoid interference. The control treatment was without fertilization. Maize crop has a high water demand under arid condition. The irrigation period starts in June and ends in early September. The number of

irrigation events depends on the irrigation method, soil type and the cropping system. The mean water amount which applied per pots cropping season from June to Sept was 250 mm from June to July, and 500 mm until the end of the experiment.

2.2.1. *Azospirillum* as Bio-fertilizer

Azospirillum brasilense (Sp245) was cultured; growth and inoculation with seed and soil were performed as described by [16]. Briefly, the *Azospirillum* bacterium was grown for 4 days

in LB medium (10g L⁻¹ triptone, 5g L⁻¹ NaCl, 10g L⁻¹ yeast extract) with continuous shaking at 30°C. Bacteria were then centrifuged for 15 min at 4500 x g and washed four times with sterile saline solution (NaCl 0.85% w/v); after that, the *Azospirillum* bacteria became ready for inoculation with the soil.

2.3. Leachates Sampling and Analysis

The nitrogen loss through water leaching was collected at 20 days intervals started at three weeks after transplanting until three weeks before harvesting. The samples were collected at the leaching pipe of the drainage system then store at 4 C° before analysis and measured using a 50 mL graduated cylinder. The NO₃⁻-N concentration was analyzed using the cadmium reduction method on a spectrophotometer at a wavelength (500 nm). The NH₄⁺-N concentration was analyzed using the Nessler method [17]. The intensity of the color is determined by a compatible photometer and the concentration based upon the meter, which will be presented in mg L⁻¹ (ppm) of NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N in 1 leachates. The total NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N leaching loss was calculated by multiplying the N concentration to the leachate volume.

In order to calculate the actual nitrate loss through soil pots, we considered the nitrogen concentration of the soil solution at the bottom depth of the explored soil pots and the water draining through it. Nitrate leaching was estimated using the trapezoidal rule using a Multi-parameter photometer with COD (HI83399) instrument as proposed [18], which assumes that nitrogen concentrations in the extracted soil water solution represented mean flux concentrations. The total nitrogen leached over each sampling interval, in mg kg⁻¹, was calculated as N leached = 0.5 (C1 + C2) V / 100 where C1 and C2 are successive pairs of sampling occasions (mg NO₃⁻-N L⁻¹), and V is the drainage volume between sampling occasions (mm).

2.4. Soil and Organic Wastes Analysis

Total thirty samples referred to ten treatments × three replications; soil samples were taken from each bottle after the removal of visible roots and fresh litter material, the composite samples were sieved (2 mm) and then stored at room temperature for less than three months until chemical analyses were performed. Samples were air-dried and ready for measured. The Particles-size distribution was determined by the hydrometer, as described [19]. Basic characteristics, such as pH of the soil was measured potentiometrically in a soil: water suspension 1:2.5 w/v [19]. The electrical conductivity (EC) was measured in saturated paste extracts using an EC meter [20]. The soluble calcium (Ca²⁺) and chloride (Cl⁻) were extracted with soil-water 1:5 w/v and measured by titration method [18]. Soluble sodium (Na⁺) and potassium (K⁺) were extracted with soil-water 1:5 w/v and determined by flame photometer [17]. The total nitrogen (N) was determined by the Microkjeldahl method [17], available phosphorus (P) was extracted by blue methods with 0.5 N NaHCO₃ [21]. The

available potassium (K⁺) was extracted by 1 N ammonium acetate solution and measured by the flame photometer. Soil organic carbon (SOC) concentration was determined by oxidization with K₂Cr₂O₇ [21].

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using the SPSS v.16 software (Visauta, 2007). Data were submitted to a normality test before the analysis of variance. When statistical significance was found (P ≤ 0.05), a comparison of the means was carried out using the Tukey test. Furthermore, a Pearson correlation analysis was carried out to observe the degree of association between some of the studied variables.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Leachate Properties of Treated Soil

The effects of organic and bio-organic ameliorants on the properties of successive leachates from the saline-sodic soil. The first leaching was conducted on soil, which had been slowly wetted to 85% of field capacity; subsequent leaching was conducted on air-dried soil. After five-time leaching every 20 days, there were significant changes in leachate properties imposed by spent grain and *Azospirillum* treatments for soil. As from the 20 to 100 days was analyzed for the EC, pH, NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, Na⁺, Cl⁻, Ca²⁺, and K⁺ data for these leachates are presented in the following section.

3.1.1. Effects of Organic and Bio-Organic Additions on the pH Values in Soil Leachates

Fig. (1) show the pH values of the saline-sodic soil treated with organic and bio-organic ameliorants. The soil leachates at S2 alone decreased pH, but these effects were reversed in the NPK and CK treatments. In the A1 and S2 treatments, the decrease of pH was enhanced by the addition of both treatments. However, NPK and M2 treatments increased pH in the soil, while A1M1 did the opposite. The lowest pH was observed in the S2 treatment, and the highest pH values were observed in the CK and NPK treatments in saline-sodic soil after 100 days of seed sowing. The increase in pH of soil by the addition of M2 and M1 was most likely due to CO₃²⁻ and Ca²⁺ produced when compost was applied to the soil. However, the increase in pH of soil may induce the Ca²⁺ to become more alkaline and, therefore, more sodic as the solubility of Ca²⁺ is suppressed [2]. In arid and semi-arid regions, the soluble Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ become low, and Na⁺ and K⁺ ions accumulate in soil solution when the concentrations of CO₃²⁻ and HCO₃⁻ increase [7, 22, 23]. Organic acids and CO₂ produced during incubation of spent grain and *Azospirillum* might reduce pH in the soil. However, the magnitude of this decrease brought about by 10 t/ha of spent grain did not lower the pH of the soil sufficiently to influence lime solubility. Further experiments are needed to determine the best combination rates of spent grain and *Azospirillum* to overcome problems associated with sodicity. In the saline-sodic soil, the pH was increased when compost and NPK were added. This result was observed in Fig. (1).




Fig. (1). Effects of different organic and biological treatments on the pH values of soil leachates under greenhouse plant growth. CK: control; NPK: 100% chemical fertilizer; S2: (20 g of spent grain/ kg soil); S1: (10 g of spent grain / kg soil); M2: (20 g of compost/ kg soil); M1: (10 g of compost/ kg soil); M1S1: mix between M1 and S1; A1: *Azospirillum* inoculation; A1S1: A1 + S1; A1M1: A1+M1.

3.1.2. Effects of Organic and Bio-Organic Additions on the EC Concentrations in Soil Leachates

Fig. (2) show the concentrations of the electrical conductivity (EC) for 100 days in the saline-sodic soil leachate followed the order NPK > M1 > M2 > CK > M1S1 > A1M1 > S1 > S2 > A1 > A1S1. Additionally, A1S1 lost the salt concentrations in soil leachates more than the control and NPK treatments, and S2 lost more than M1S1 did. The EC concentrations of leachates decreased from 20 to 100 days of seed sowing in virtually all treatments. The EC was greatest in the initial leaching (20 days) and lowest in the last leachates (100 days) in all treatments, including the control. This indicates that cation dissolution was greater in the early stages and diminished as successive leaching proceeded. In bio-fertilizer treatments, the trend of EC concentrations was to decrease as leaching proceeded was similar to the spent grain with *Azospirillum* treatments. The EC of each leachate in the NPK and M2 treatments were almost invariably significantly higher than in the leachates of all other treatments at the same leaching events. Minor but consistent reduction in the EC occurred for all leaching events [7, 22, 24]. The EC of soils was increased by adding NPK and compost fertilizers because both treatments provided an increase in electrolyte con-

centration. When A1+M1 was applied to the soil, the increase in EC was not significantly higher than that of M1- only. This indicates that the application rates of compost (10 and 20 g kg⁻¹ soil) may be too low to affect the solubility of salts and that either the rates may need to be increased to enhance the solubility of lime or that more time is required to observe the desired effect. Further experiments are needed to answer this question [7, 22].

3.1.3. The NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ Concentrations in Soil Leachates

Fig. (3) shows the trends of nitrate leaching from 20 to 100 days of seed sowing. Nitrate (NO₃⁻) leaching losses in each treatment decreased as the spent grain increased. Fig. (1) shows the concentrations of the NO₃⁻ leaching from 20 to 100 days in the saline-sodic soil followed the order NPK > M2 > A1M1 > M1 > M1S1 > A1S1 > S2 > S1 > CK > A1. Additionally, A1 lost more than the compost and NPK treatments, and S2 lost more than A1M1 did. Nitrate leaching losses during the corn experiments from 20 to 60 days were lower than the losses in the period from 60 to 100 days. These results indicated that spent grain and *Azospirillum* application were beneficial for reducing nitrate leaching.




Fig. (2). Effects of different organic and biological treatments on the EC (dS m⁻¹) concentrations of soil leachates under greenhouse plant growth. CK: control; NPK: 100% chemical fertilizer; S2: (20 g of spent grain/ kg soil); S1: (10 g of spent grain / kg soil); M2: (20 g of compost/ kg soil); M1: (10 g of compost/ kg soil); M1S1: mix between M1 and S1; A1: *Azospirillum* inoculation; A1S1: A1 + S1; A1M1: A1+M1.




Fig. (3). Effects of different organic and biological treatments on the NO_3^- (mg L^{-1}) concentrations of soil leachates under greenhouse plant growth. CK: control; NPK: 100% chemical fertilizer; S2: (20 g of spent grain/ kg soil); S1: (10 g of spent grain / kg soil); M2: (20 g of compost/ kg soil); M1: (10 g of compost/ kg soil); M1S1: mix between M1 and S1; A1: *Azospirillum* inoculation; A1S1: A1 + S1; A1M1: A1+M1. The standard deviation (n = 3) was analyzed using a one-way ANOVA.

S2 and A1 treatments reduced the NO_3^- leaching losses in the soil layers. The treatment NPK and CK treatments significantly differed ($P < 0.05$), the exception that no obvious differences between CK and S1 from 20 to 100 days ($P > 0.05$) were observed. The nitrate leaching losses from 20 to 40 days ranged from 31.98 to 14.82 mg L^{-1} in the NPK and S2, respectively, and in 40 to 60 days leaching losses from 21.10–8.56 mg L^{-1} in the NPK and S2, respectively. No significant differences were observed between the compost and NPK treatments. Below the NO_3^- concentration, no significant differences ($P > 0.05$) were observed among A1, S1, and CK. The results for the 100 days show that S1 and A1 applications could reduce soil nitrate leaching losses in the soil layer. The losses in both S1 (11.46 mg L^{-1}) and A1 (4.08 mg L^{-1}) was significantly differed compared with NPK (31.98 mg L^{-1}) ($P <$

0.05) after 20 days of seed sowing. The presented results of NO_3^- are in agreement with the results [8].

On the other hand, the organic additives nitrate concentration is the key factor influencing Ammonium (NH_4^+) leaching losses. Fig. (4) shows the course of changes in the soil NH_4^+ concentration in the soil layers at different time points. In the corn pots, the annual mean of the NH_4^+ concentrations were higher ($P < 0.05$) from 20 to 100 days. The trends for NH_4^+ were very similar to trend NO_3^- . The most critical period of leaching was during 20 days because this start time for amendments of organic wastes to soil, and the NH_4^+ concentration was much higher during 20 days than other periods from 40 to 100 days of measurements ($P < 0.05$). After 40 days of seed sowing the NH_4^+ concentration in water, leaching was zero from 40 to 100 days, except M2 treatment was 4 mg L^{-1} .




Fig. (4). Effects of different organic and biological treatments on the NH_4^+ (mg L^{-1}) concentrations of soil leachates under greenhouse plant growth. CK: control; NPK: 100% chemical fertilizer; S2: (20 g of spent grain/ kg soil); S1: (10 g of spent grain / kg soil); M2: (20 g of compost/ kg soil); M1: (10 g of compost/ kg soil); M1S1: mix between M1 and S1; A1: *Azospirillum* inoculation; A1S1: A1 + S1; A1M1: A1+ M1.




Fig. (5). Effects of different organic and biological treatments on the Na⁺ (mg L⁻¹) concentrations of soil leachates under greenhouse plant growth. CK: control; NPK: 100% chemical fertilizer; S2: (20 g of spent grain/ kg soil); S1: (10 g of spent grain / kg soil); M2: (20 g of compost/ kg soil); M1: (10 g of compost/ kg soil); M1S1: mix between M1 and S1; A1: *Azospirillum* inoculation; A1S1: *Azospirillum* + S1; A1M1: A1+M1. The standard deviation (n = 3) was analyzed using a one-way ANOVA.

Not surprisingly, the period of start for organic additive decomposition was higher for NH₄⁺ leaching. The NH₄⁺ concentration after 20 days in A1 treatments was lower than that in CK and NPK treatments compared with M2 and A1S1 treatments, the NH₄⁺ concentration in the S2 and A1 treatments both significantly differed ($P < 0.05$) after 20 days; no significant differences were observed between all treatments in Aug or Sept in NH₄⁺ leaching. However, significant differences were observed between the CK and S2 treatments at all-time points ($P > 0.05$).

Organic applications increase the capacity of soil to retain N as both NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N. However, biochemical processes are involved in controlling NO₃⁻ leaching through the soil column. Therefore, it is difficult to anticipate NO₃⁻ retention by spent grain and biological additives in the soil system. In addition, spent grain with *Azospirillum* inoculation has a substantial capacity to adsorb NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ from the soil solution. It can reduce the rate of N mineralization and NO₃⁻-N leaching from the soil layers due to adsorption of NH₄⁺ and organic nitrogen produced during mineralization of soil organic matter [9, 25]. Also, NO₃⁻-N may be lost *via* other pathways, such as denitrification and immobilization [26].

The results show that spent grain and *Azospirillum* applications were beneficial for reducing the soil nitrate and ammonium concentrations during the early stages of corn growth. However, in the middle and at the end of the corn growth stage, the soil NH₄⁺ leaching did not increase despite the soil nitrogen concentration increasing slightly. Although the bio-spent grain applications constituted an additional source of nitrogen, the NO₃⁻ leaching losses did not increase. The presented results of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ are in agreement with the results [8, 18].

3.1.4. Effects of Organic and Bio-organic Additions on the Na⁺ Concentrations in Soil Leachates

The sodium (Na⁺) concentrations in the soil leachates are shown in Fig. (5). The concentrations of Na⁺ leaching from 20 to 100 days in the saline-sodic soil to ground-water followed the order M2 > A1M1 > M1S1 > M1 > A1S1 ≥ CK > NPK > A1 > S1 > S2. According to the reported Na⁺ concentrations, S2 and A1 application rates were lowest compared to the M2 application rates. The organically treated soil with a mixture of M2, A1M1, and NPK possessed the highest Na⁺ concentrations among the treatments. The trend amount of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ concentrations decreased with increasing leaching period from 20 to 100 days, (Fig. 6). As the application level of compost increased, the NaCl concentration increased. There were significant differences among the treatments in the Na⁺ and Cl⁻ concentrations. Soil treatment with A1 and S2 significantly improved the soil chemical environment by increasing the Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and soluble-exchangeable-K⁺ contents and thus limited entry of Na⁺ into the exchange complex in soil and consists complex with soluble Na⁺ as sodium humate forms [27]. Although the *Azospirillum* application constituted an additional source of salts, the Na⁺ and Cl⁻ leaching losses did not increase [2]. High sodium chloride can lead to deterioration of soil physical properties and increase water salinity and toxicity. Soluble sodium and chloride can adversely affect soil structure by making the soil very susceptible to crusting, impeding water infiltration, and hindering root growth [28].

3.1.5. Effects of Organic and Bio-organic Additions on the Cl⁻ Concentrations in Soil Leachates

The Chloride (Cl⁻) concentrations after 20 days of seed sowing were 503.62, 456.10, 449.66, 413.88, 384.34, 378.09, 350.64, 309.24, 164.01, and 128.93 (mg L⁻¹) for the M2, M1, A1S1, NPK, A1M1, CK, M1S1, S1, A1, and S2 respectively.

Fig. (6) shows the concentrations of Cl⁻ leachate from 20 to 100 days in the saline-sodic soil followed the order M2 > M1 > A1S1 > NPK > A1M1 > CK > M1S1 > S1 > A1 > S2. According to the reported concentrations of Cl⁻, in S2 with A1, treatments were lowest compared to compost and NPK application rates. There were significant differences among the means of the studied treatments. The spent grain and *Azospirillum* did not increase the Cl⁻ concentration relative to the other treatments. Therefore, the spent grain and *Azospirillum* could not lead to a salinity hazard and water toxicity by chloride. The M2 treatment possessed a high concentration of Cl⁻ concentrations compared to control and NPK treatments after 100 days of soil leachates. The compost source increased the chloride concentration in saline-sodic soil significantly compared to S2 and A1. The differences in the concentrations of Cl⁻ were significant among the treatments used. The superiority of the spent grain was due to initially high organic matter, low pH, and high water holding capacity. The high concentration of Cl⁻ provided by the M2 raises the risk of salinity hazard for soils. Therefore, the M2 applications used in the present study are not favorable in soil fertilization or reclamation compared to the S2 and A1. The increased concentration of Cl⁻ ions in any organic source increases osmotic pressure and decreases water potential, making it harder for plants to take up water. Additionally, high concentrations of Cl⁻ could cause toxicity to some plants [2, 28]. When using an organic source, it is important to know the effect of source quality on the salt content of the soil solution.

All common chlorides are soluble and contribute to the total salt content of soils. However, chloride is an essential element for plant nutrition but it is needed in a small quantity.

3.1.6. Effects of Organic and Bio-Organic Additions on Ca²⁺ and K⁺ Concentrations in Soil Leachates

The calcium (Ca²⁺) and Potassium (K⁺) concentrations in soil varied considerably during the study period are shown in Fig. (7) and (8). The concentrations of the Ca²⁺ leaching from 20 to 100 days in the saline-sodic soil. The Ca²⁺ concentration was lower in the bio-spent grain treatments than in the compost and NPK treatments. The Ca²⁺ leaching concentrations in water leaching were followed the order M2 > M1 > A1M1 > M1S1 > A1 > NPK > CK > A1S1 > S1 > S2, respectively. The S2 treatment positively affected Ca²⁺ concentration compared to other organic treatments. The S2 treatment supplied the lowest calcium concentration while the M2 supplied the highest in soil. Ca²⁺ efficiency due to higher compost of Ca²⁺ is rare but may occur on alkaline and saline soils. The Ca²⁺ is generally not deficient in soils when pH is 7.5 or above. Therefore, the soil used in the present study has sufficient Ca²⁺ needed by a growing plant and decreasing Na⁺ adsorption concentration. On the other hand, the concentration of K⁺ was similar to the trend of Ca²⁺ content presented earlier. The organically treated soil with a mixture of M2, A1M1, and NPK possessed the highest K⁺ concentration among the treatments after 20 days. The application level of compost increased K⁺ concentrations.




Fig. (6). Effects of different organic and biological treatments on the Cl⁻ (mg L⁻¹) concentrations of soil leachates under greenhouse plant growth. CK: control; NPK: 100% chemical fertilizer; S2: (20 g of spent grain/ kg soil); S1: (10 g of spent grain / kg soil); M2: (20 g of compost/ kg soil); M1: (10 g of compost/ kg soil); M1S1: mix between M1 and S1; A1: *Azospirillum* inoculation; A1S1: A1 + S1; A1M1: A1+M1. The standard deviation (n = 3) was analyzed using a one-way ANOVA.




Fig. (7). Effects of different organic and biological treatments on the Ca²⁺ (mg L⁻¹) of soil leachates under greenhouse plant growth. CK: control; NPK: 100% chemical fertilizer; S2: (20 g of spent grain/ kg soil); S1: (10 g of spent grain / kg soil); M2: (20 g of compost/ kg soil); M1: (10 g of compost/ kg soil); M1S1: mix between M1 and S1; A1: *Azospirillum* inoculation; A1S1: A1 + S1; A1M1: A1+M1. The standard deviation (n = 3) was analyzed using a one-way ANOVA.




Fig. (8). Effects of different organic and biological treatments on the K⁺ (mg L⁻¹) concentrations of soil leachates under greenhouse plant growth. CK: control; NPK: 100% chemical fertilizer; S2: (20 g of spent grain/ kg soil); S1: (10 g of spent grain / kg soil); M2: (20 g of compost/ kg soil); M1: (10 g of compost/ kg soil); M1S1: mix between M1 and S1; A1: *Azospirillum* inoculation; A1S1: A1 + S1; A1M1: A1+M1.

The variation in K⁺ in saline-sodic soil may be due to the activity of bacteria in the soil affecting the decomposition process. However, adding organic materials can significantly increase and maintain K⁺ in S2 and A1 treatments more than in the CK treatment. Plants grown under saline-sodic conditions take up low amounts of K⁺ by the roots because of cationic competition between K⁺ and Na⁺, which can cause K⁺ deficiency. The S2 treatment significantly increased ($p < 0.05$) the CEC of both systems, possibly due to increased surface area after pyrolysis of spent grain and charge density. The results show that spent grain and *Azospirillum* applications were beneficial for reducing the soil calcium and potassium concentrations during the early stages of corn growth from 20

to 100 days [14]. The application of spent grain with *Azospirillum* significantly increased soil fertility and plant growth in this results [13, 29, 30].

CONCLUSION

Spent grain with biological additives significantly decreased concentrations of the EC, Na⁺, and Cl⁻ in soil leachates compared with compost and NPK treatments from 20 to 100 days, but NPK fertilizers and compost organic wastes applications may cause serious environmental risk. The high concentration of Cl⁻ provided by the M2 raises the risk of salinity hazard in soils. The highest nitrogen use efficiency

with the least NO_3^- and NH_4^+ losses in saline-sodic soil was also found in S2 and A1 treatments. Moreover, under this bio-organic fertilization way, NO_3^- concentrations in soil leachates was outside of danger of damaging the environment. Thus, spent grain with *Azospirillum* as a bio-organic additive was suggested to be being the optimal fertilizer application with the lowest nitrogen losses in water, grain yield for corn plants, and highest soil fertility, compared with chemical cultivation.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals/humans were used for studies that are the basis of this research.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Colleagues of Department of Soil Science and Soil Ecology, Institute of Earth Sciences of St. Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russia, Colleagues of Department of Land and Water Technologies, Arid Lands Cultivation Research Institute, City of Scientific Research and Technological Applications, Alexandria, Egypt, and Cultural Affairs and Missions Sector, Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Egypt and Russia.

REFERENCES

- [1] Qadir M, Tubeileh A, Akhtar J, Larbi A, Minhas PS, Khan MA. Productivity enhancement of salt-affected environments through crop diversification. *Land Degrad Dev* 2008; 19(4): 429-53. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ldr.853]
- [2] Hafez M, Popov AI, Rashad M. A novel environmental additives to decrease nitrate level in agriculture wastewater and enhancement nutrient status under greenhouse plant growth in calcareous soil. *Plant Arch* 2020; 20(Jan): 3165-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/soil-2019-69]
- [3] Mahmoodabadi M, Yazdanpanah N, Sinobas LR, Pazira E, Neshat A. Reclamation of calcareous saline sodic soil with different amendments (I): Redistribution of soluble cations within the soil profile. *Agric Water Manage* 2013; 120(1): 30-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2012.08.018]
- [4] Zawoznik MS, Ameneiros M, Benavides MP, Vázquez S, Groppa MD. Response to saline stress and aquaporin expression in *Azospirillum*-inoculated barley seedlings. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2011; 90(4): 1389-97. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3162-1] [PMID: 21365472]
- [5] Arora S, Singh AK, Singh YP. Bioremediation of salt affected soils: An indian perspective. Cham: Springer International Publishing 2017. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-48257-6]
- [6] Shi S. Response of microbial communities and enzyme activities to amendments in saline-alkaline soils. *Appl Soil Ecol* 2019; 135
- [7] Chaganti VN, Crohn DM, Šimůnek J. Leaching and reclamation of a biochar and compost amended saline-sodic soil with moderate SAR reclaimed water. *Agric Water Manage* 2015; 158: 255-65. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.05.016]
- [8] Teutscherova N, Houška J, Navas M, Masaguer A, Benito M, Vazquez E. Leaching of ammonium and nitrate from Acrisol and Calcisol amended with holm oak biochar: A column study. *Geoderma* 2018; 323(February): 136-45. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.03.004]
- [9] Zhang A. Using side-dressing technique to reduce nitrogen leaching and improve nitrogen recovery efficiency under an irrigated rice system in the upper reaches of Yellow River Basin, Northwest China. *J Integr Agric* 2016; 15(1): 220-31. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(14)60952-7]
- [10] Fofou A, Djorfi S, Haied N, Kechiched R, Azlaoui M, Hani A. Water pollution diagnosis and risk assessment of Wadi Zied plain aquifer caused by the leachates of Annaba landfill (N-E Algeria). *Energy Procedia* 2017; 119: 393-406. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.07.123]
- [11] Perego A, Basile A, Bonfante A, Mascellis R De, et al. Nitrate leaching under maize cropping systems in Po Valley (Italy). *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 2012; 147(1): 57-65. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.06.014]
- [12] Diacono M, Montemurro F. Effectiveness of organic wastes as fertilizers and amendments in salt-affected soils. *Agriculture* 2015; 5(2): 221-30. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/agriculture5020221]
- [13] Hafez M, Popov AI, Rashad M. Influence of agro-industrial wastes and azospirillum on nutrients status and grain yield under corn plant growth in arid regions. *Biosci Res* 2019; 16(2): 2119-30. www.isisn.org
- [14] Mussatto SI, Roberto IC. Chemical characterization and liberation of pentose sugars from brewer's spent grain. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 2006; 81(3): 268-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jctb.1374]
- [15] Climate-Dataorg 2019. https://en.climate-data.org/africa/egypt/alexandria-governorate/alexandria-515/
- [16] Pii Y, Penn A, Terzano R, Crecchio C, Mimmo T, Cesco S. Plant-microorganism-soil interactions influence the Fe availability in the rhizosphere of cucumber plants. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 2015; 87(1): 45-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.12.014] [PMID: 25544744]
- [17] Anderson DR, Page AL, Miller RH. *Methods of Soil Analysis and Soil Respiration* 1982.
- [18] Vinod PN, Chandramouli PN, Koch M. Estimation of nitrate leaching in groundwater in an agriculturally used area in the state karnataka, india, using existing model and gis. *Aquat Procedia* 2015; 4(lcwrcoe): 1047-53.
- [19] Page RH, Miller AL. *Methods of soil analysis. Part 2*, Second Edition. 1982.
- [20] Corwin DL, Yemoto K. Salinity: Electrical Conductivity and Total Dissolved Solids. *Methods Soil Anal* 2017; 2(1): 0.
- [21] Soltanpour PN, Schwab AP. A new soil test for simultaneous extraction of macro and micro nutrients in alkaline soils. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal* 1977; 8(3): 195-207. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00103627709366714]
- [22] Zhao Y. Extensive reclamation of saline-sodic soils with flue gas desulfurization gypsum on the Songnen Plain, Northeast China. *Geoderma* 2018; 321(1): 52-60. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.01.033]
- [23] Nassar I, Rashad M, Aboukila E, Hafez M. Effect of beer industry wastes compost on some physical and chemical properties of a sandy soil. *J Agric Environ Sci* 2014; (December): ISBN 1687-1464.
- [24] Zhang T, Wang T, Liu K, Wang L, Wang K, Zhou Y. Effects of different amendments for the reclamation of coastal saline soil on soil nutrient dynamics and electrical conductivity responses. *Agric Water Manage* 2015; 159: 115-22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.06.002]
- [25] Singh SR, Zargar MY, Singh U, Ishaq M. Influence of bio-inoculants and inorganic fertilizers on yield, nutrient balance, microbial dynamics and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa*) under rainfed conditions of Kashmir valley. *Indian J Agric Sci* 2010; 80(4): 275-81.

- [26] Rehim A. Integrated use of farm manure and synthetic nitrogen fertilizer improves nitrogen use efficiency, yield and grain quality in wheat. *Ital J Agron* 2020; 15(1): 29-34. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4081/ija.2020.1360]
- [27] Shi Y, Liu X, Zhang Q. Effects of combined biochar and organic fertilizer on nitrous oxide fluxes and the related nitrifier and denitrifier communities in a saline-alkali soil. *Sci Total Environ* 2019; 686: 199-211. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.394] [PMID: 31176819]
- [28] Hu Y, Schmidhalter U. Drought and salinity: A comparison of their effects on mineral nutrition of plants. *J Plant Nutr Soil Sci* 2005; 168(4): 541-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200420516]
- [29] Rashad M, Hafez M, Emran M, Aboukila E, Nassar I. Influence of Environment-Friendly Organic Wastes on the Properties of Sandy Soil under Growing *Zea mays* L. in Arid Regions. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology* 2016; 10(9): 588-94.
- [30] Hafez M, Rashad M, Popov AI. The biological correction of agrophotosynthesis of soil plant productivity. *J Plant Nutr* 2020; 0(0): 1-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2020.1799008]

© 2020 Hafez *et al.*

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.