Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.ae

The Open Agriculture Journal, 2018, 12, 131-147



RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Effect of Rhizobia Isolates Against Black Root Rot Disease of Faba Bean (Vicia faba L) Caused by *Fusarium solani*

Gedyon Tamiru^{1,*} and Diriba Muleta²

¹Southern Agricultural Research Institute, Hawassa Agricultural Research Centre, P.O. box. 2126, Hawassa, Ethiopia ²Addis Ababa University, Institute of Biotechnology, P.O. box.1176, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Received: April 04, 2018

Revised: June 01, 2018

Accepted: June 03, 2018

131

Abstract:

Objective:

Evaluate for potential biocontrol agent by assessing isolates for *in vitro* inhibitory efficacy, probable mechanisms to inhibit fungal pathogen and effect on growth of Faba bean infected with *F. solani*.

Methods:

The effect of Rhizobium isolates on the development of radial mycelium of *F. solani* in PDA medium were tested *in vitro*. The experiments were carried out using the dual culture technique. Isolates that showed inhibitory effect against *F. solani in vitro* were tested to assess hydrolytic enzymes and growth promoting traits. Subsequently, the three Rhizobium isolates that showed the greatest inhibitions and their combinations were tested in the greenhouse against *F. solani* root rot on seedlings by applying cell suspensions at three different times of exposure to the pathogen.

Results:

In dual culture, 27 rhizobium isolates inhibited the radial growth of *F. solani* mycelium more than 25%. Isolates JU26(1), JU15(2) and Ho-1WG, inhibited fungal radial growth by 70.5%, 64.7% and 63.7%, respectively. Among the 27 Rhizobium isolates tested for hydrolytic enzymes 26.1%, 44.4%, 14.8% were positive for chitinase, protease and lipase production, respectively. Chitinase, protease and lipase positive isolates showed significant fungal mycelia inhibition. Eight (29.6%) were positive for hydrogen cyanide production. Also, 24(88.8%) were positive for IAA production and over 50% formed visible dissolution haloes on PA. Concurrent production of protease, lipases, chitinase, IAA and phosphate solubilization coupled with anti-fungal activity suggests potential plant growth promotion and broad-spectrum bio control of these isolates. Furthermore, combination and Ho-1WG consistently reduced disease incidence and severity; and increased growth parameters on seedling in greenhouse at all times of application compared to diseased (control). Maximum disease severity (73.3%) reduction was observed with application of combination before the pathogen. The combination provided the highest (48 cm/plant) shoot height when applied before the pathogen.

Conclusion:

Beneficial traits strongly assist the efficiency of candidate antagonists for desired biocontrol, emphasizing the value of concerted mechanisms of action. The result indicated the possible use of Rhizobial isolates as an alternative means of BRR management but further study is needed to verify actual use in agricultural production.

Keywords: Black root rot disease, Chitinase, Faba bean, Hydrogen cyanide, Rhizobium, F. Solani.

* Address correspondence to this author at the Southern Agricultural Research Institute, Hawassa Agricultural Research Centre, P.O. box. 2126, Hawassa, Ethiopia; Tel: +251941412449; E-mail: gedyon.tamiru@yahoo.com

1. INTRODUCTION

In Ethiopia, grain crops are grown annually on approximately 12.5 million hectares of land, of these, 1.5 million hectares is covered by pulses out of which 443,074.68 hectares is dedicated to Faba bean with annual production of about 8,389,438.97 quintals [1]. Faba bean makes a significant contribution to soil fertility restoration as a suitable rotation crop that fixes atmospheric nitrogen and reduces the dependence on external fertilizer inputs and also provides an important source of income for farmers and generates foreign currency for the country [2].

In spite of their huge importance, the productivity of Faba bean in Ethiopia remains far below the crop's potential greater than 3 ton/ha. Production of Faba bean has been constrained by several biotic and abiotic factors [2]. Surveys on diseases of Faba bean in Ethiopia showed that 17 pathogens infect Faba bean in different parts of the country [3]. Some diseases that are economically most important in the major Faba bean growing regions include Black Root Rot (BRR), chocolate spot and rust caused by *Fusarium solani* (Mart.) Appel and Wr., *Botrytis fabae* Sard., and *Uromyces viciae-fabae* (Pers.) Schr. important, respectively [3].

Root rot is among the major production constraints limiting the yield of Faba bean in many countries of the world especially where poor nutrient supply and overly wet soil conditions prevail [4]. The fungus *Fusarium solani* has been encountered on a large number of hosts in Ethiopia including Faba bean [5]. Complete crop losses could occur in severe infection conditions and when favorable conditions prevail for the pathogen. In farmers' fields, a loss of about 45% was estimated due to this disease [5].

Numerous efforts have been made to manage BRR in Ethiopia as such using host plant resistance, cultural practice and synthetic chemicals [6]. Nevertheless, increasing use of chemical inputs causes several negative effects, *i.e.*, development of pathogen resistance to the applied agents and their nontarget environmental impacts [7]. Furthermore, the growing cost of pesticides, particularly in less-affluent regions of the world, and consumer demand for pesticide-free food has led to a search for substitutes for these products [8]. Thus, there is a need for supplementary plant disease management options that provide effective management of the disease under question while minimizing cost and negative consequences to human health and the environment [9].

Many species of *Rhizobium* are reported to inhibit significantly the growth of pathogenic fungi [10]. The rhizobia have several mechanisms of action to control pathogens that include competition for iron by production of siderophores [11], synthesis of Rhizobiotoxin [12], phosphate solubilization and promotion of plant growth in terms of better shoot height, root length, dry weight and root nodulation [13]. Antoun *et al* [14] showed that rhizobia are able to produce IAA.

In spite of the existence of rhizobia in faba bean rhizosphere, there is little information on rhizobia antagonists against black root rot. Therefore, the major aims of the current study were to determine *in vitro* inhibitory effect of *Rhizobium* isolates against *Fusarium solani*; and to evaluate the probable mechanisms used by rhizobial isolates to inhibit fungal pathogen by studying their ability to produce: Protease, Chitinase, Lipase, Hydrogen Cyanide (HCN), Indole Acetic Acid (IAA); and to mobilize phosphate. In addition to evaluate effect of *Rhizobium* isolates on *in vivo* growth of Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L) infected with *Fusarium solani*.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Description of the Study Area

The study was conducted at Jimma University College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine (JUCAVM), Jimma, Ethiopia. Laboratory activities were conducted in Plant Pathology Laboratory. Pot experiments were conducted in JUCAVM which is located at 742 N Latitude 3657 E Longitude and at altitude 1710 m. a.s.l. The maximum and minimum temperature of the area is 26.8 and 11.8 c, respectively, with relative humidity of 91% and the mean rainfall of 1500 mm per annum [15].

2.1.1. Origin of Rhizobium Isolates and Culture Conditions

Fourteen (14) rhizobium isolates were obtained from the culture collection of Holeta Agricultural Research Centre, Microbial Biotechnology Laboratory. The other twenty seven (27) isolates were obtained from Jimma University, Department of Biology, Applied Microbiology Laboratory (Table 1). Jimma University isolates have been morphologically, and biochemically tested in Applied Microbiology Laboratory at Department of Biology [16].

Isolates Code	Host	Geographic Origin	Source	Isolates Code	Host	Geographic Origin	Source
JU2(4)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma	JU3(1)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma
JU-E(1)	Haricot bean	Mena	Jimma	Ho-4-1SG	Faba bean	S. Gonder	Holeta
JU1(2)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma	JU47(2)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma
JU1(3)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma	JU2(2)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma
JU8(1)	Pea	Mena	Jimma	JU2(3)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma
JU1(5)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma	JU-Y(1)	Haricot b	Yebu	Jimma
Ho-1-1NG	Faba bean	N. Gonder	Holeta	JU3(2)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma
Ho-1018	Faba bean	N. Gonder	Holeta	JU8(2)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma
Ho-3WG	Faba bean	W. Gonder	Holeta	JU8(3)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma
JU26(1)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma	JU-D(1)	Haricot b	Dedo	Jimma
JU3(1)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma	Ho-3-1SG	Faba bean	S. Gonder	Holeta
JU15(2)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma	JU5(2)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma
JU-S(1)	Haricot b	Seribo	Jimma	JU21(4)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma
JU11(1)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma	JU-Sr(1)	Haricot b	Seribo	Jimma
JU-G(2)	Haricot b	Ginibbe	Jimma	JU26(2)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma
Ho-2ST	Faba bean	S. Tigria	Holeta	Ho-7EG	Faba bean	E. Gonder	Holeta
Ho-1WG	Faba bean	W. Gonder	Holeta	Ho-1035	Faba bean	N. Gonder	Holeta
JU3(3)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma	Ho-2-1ST	Faba bean	S. Tigria	Holeta
Ho-5EG	Faba bean	E. Gonder	Holeta	Ho-2-1WG	Faba bean	W. Gonder	Holeta
Ho-2EG	Faba bean	E. Gonder	Holeta				
Ho-1EG	Faba bean	E. Gonder	Holeta				
JU1(4)	Faba bean	Mena	Jimma				

Table 1. Types, origin and source of Rhizobium isolates.

All pure cultures of rhizobium isolates were routinely maintained on yeast extract Mannitol (YEMA) agar plates [17] at 4°C and in YEM broth containing 20% (v/v) glycerol at -80° c.

2.2. In vitro Screening for Antagonism

The inhibitory effect of the *Rhizobium* isolates against the radial mycelial growth of the *F. solani* (JUFS1) was evaluated using the dual culture technique [18]. In order to quantify the percent reduction, a small fungal agar block (5 mm in diameter) was cut from a plat of potato dextrose agar after seven days of fungal growth at 25°C. The plug was centrally placed on pre-solidified PDA medium. One loopful of 24 h old culture (10^8 cfu/ml) bacterial cultures at the exponential growth phase were streaked on PDA as a broad band (making a straight short bar) approx. 2 cm away from the fungal agar block at one opposite edge of triplicate Petri dishes (90 mm diameter). In all antagonistic studies, a plate inoculated with only sterile liquid bacterial medium and the test fungus place at the center served as a control. Both experimental and control Petri plates were arranged in a completely randomized design with three replicates per treatment. Petri plates were incubated at 28 ± 2 °C for 7 days. The percentage fungal radial growth inhibition was calculated by using the following formula of [19].

Percent inhibition over control =
$$\frac{C-T}{C} X 100$$

Where, C is the radial growth of fungus in control, and T is the radial growth of fungus in dual culture after 7 days of incubation.

2.3. In vitro Phytobeneficial Trait Tests

The rhizobial isolates showing antagonistic activities against mycelium growth were further studied with different biochemical tests to determine the probable mechanisms of antimicrobial activities of the isolates.

2.3.1. Chitinolytic Test

To test for chitinolytic activity of isolates were spot inoculated individually on medium containing fine powdered chitin [20] (g/L): chitin powder, 5.0; yeast extract, 0.5; K_2 HPO₄, 0.5; MgSO₄· 7H₂O, 0.2; NaCl, 0.1; agar, 20.0. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 7.0 and the medium was sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C for 15 min. The uninoculated

plates were used as control in the experiment. The plates were incubated at 28°C for 72 to 96 h until zones of chitin clearing could be seen around the colonies.

2.3.2. Proteolytic Test

Proteolytic activity was assessed on skimmed milk agar [21]. Uninoculated plates were used as control. The plates were incubated at 28°C for 2 to 3 days until zones of clearing could be seen around the colonies.

2.3.3. Lipolytic Test

Lipolytic activity was assessed on Yeast Extract Mannitol Agar medium containing 1% Tween 80^R. Single bacterial isolate was spot inoculated onto solidified plates of medium. The uninoculated plates served as control. The presence of lipase activity was indicated by insoluble olic acid forming halos around the bacterial colonies [22].

2.3.4. Hydrogen Cyanide Test

Hydrogen cyanide production was detected as described by [23]. Single bacterial isolates were streaked onto Trypticase soy agar (TSA, Difco, Franklin Lakes, NJ USA) supplemented with 4.4 g glycine per liter to screen for cyanide production. A piece of filter paper impregnated with 0.5% picric acid (yellow) and 2.0% sodium carbonate was placed in the lid of each Petri dish. The Petri dishes were sealed with parafilm and held at 28°C for 3 to 5 days. Discoloration of the filter paper to orange brown after incubation indicates microbial production of cyanide.

2.4. Plant Growth Promoting Mechanisms Tests

2.4.1. Production of Indole Acetic Acid (IAA)

Indole Acetic Acid (IAA) production was detected as described by Gordon and Weber [24]. *Rhizobium* isolates were grown separately in YEM broth medium supplemented with L-tryptophan ($100\mu g/ml$). The flasks were incubated at room temperature on rotatory shaker at 150 rpm for 72 h. After incubation, the medium was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 15 min and the cell-free supernatant was used for IAA test. The supernatant (2 ml) was mixed with two drops of orthophosphoric acid and 4 ml of the Salkowski reagent (50 ml, 35% of perchloric acid, 1 ml 0.5 M FeCl₃ solution). Uninoculated liquid media were used as control. Development of pink colour indicates IAA production.

2.4.2. Phosphate Solubilization

Isolates were tested for phosphate solubilizing ability on Pikovskaya medium [25]. The medium was distributed in 9 cm diameter Petri plates and inoculated with a loopful (10^{8} cfu/ml) of single isolate at the center. Uninoculated plates were used as control. Both inoculated and uninoculated plates were incubated at 28 ± 2 °C for 7 days and clear zone (halo) diameter surrounding the bacterial colony was measured. The ability of the rhizobium isolates to solubilise insoluble phosphate was primarily described by Edi-Premono *et al* [26].

$$SI = \frac{colony\ diameter\ +\ halozone\ diameter\ }{colony\ diameter\ }$$

2.5. Preparation of Rhizobium and Fungal Inoculum for In Vivo Seedling Test

One of our Fusarium isolate (JUFS1) was obtained from the purified culture slant in test tube and maintained on PDA medium to produce conidia. The culture of fungal pathogen was multiplied by cultivating on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium in Petri plates (9 cm) at 25 ± 2 °C for 15 days in an incubator. The colonies were harvested by scraping the surface with spatula and homogenized with 200 ml of sterile distilled water and filtered through a cheese cloth to make a fungal suspension for inoculation. The spores 1×10^6 / mL were counted by haemocytometr [10]. The isolates of *Rhizobium* (JU15(2), JU26(1) and Ho-1WG) which showed high potential of *in vitro* inhibition were used for experiment. For single isolate inoculum production, a loopful of isolate (JU26(1), JU15(2) and Ho-1WG) was separately inoculated into the 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks contain sterilized yeast extract mannitol broth [17]. The medium had the following composition (g/l): mannitol 10, KH2PO4 0.5, MgSO4.7H20 0.2, NaCI 0.1, yeast extract 0.5. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 6.8 before autoclaving at 121°C for 15 minutes. The flasks were incubated on a rotary shaker at 120 rpm for 72 h at room temperature (28 ± 2 °C). After 48 h of incubation, bacterial concentration was adjusted to 10^8 CFU/ml (OD620 0.8-0.9) using spectrophotometer [27]. Bacterial concentrations were confirmed by

dilution plating. For preparation of combination of inoculums, the bacterial isolates JU26(1), JU15(2) and Ho-1WG were grown separately and mixed with equal volume (1:1:1 v/v ratio) [28].

2.6. In Vivo Seedling Test

Antagonistic effect of *Rhizobium* isolates against *Fusarium* isolate was studied under greenhouse conditions (25-28°C) by pot culture method [29]. The pots were surface sterilized for 3 min with 5% sodium hypochlorite and washed five times with sterile water and left to dry before use. Surface sterilized healthy looking seeds of Faba bean (Variety 'Kasa' susceptible to Root rot) were sown (4 seeds/pot) in 18-cm diameter plastic pots containing sterilized clay soil. After 10 days of sowing, 20 ml of pathogen (1×10^6 condia/ml) and 4 ml (10^8 CFU/ ml) of *Rhizobium* were added per seedling to the base of stem at 2-cm depth of soil. The antagonists (JU26(1), JU15(2), Ho-1WG and Combination) were inoculated to seedling in pot before or at the same time or after the pathogen inoculation with an interval of 7 days. The following treatments were carried out in triplicate: T1 = health (uninoculated); T2 = Diseased (control, only *F. solani isolate* [JUFS1]); T3 = JU26(1) + JUFS1; T4 = JU15(2) + JUFS1; T5 = Ho-1WG + JUFS1; T6 = JU26(1) + JU15(2) + Ho-1WG) + JUFS1 (Combination). Pots were arranged in a randomized complete block design.

All plants were harvested from each pot at full bloom flowering stage (45 days). Excess soil was removed from the roots by placing the root mass on a sieve and washing with running tap water. Cleaned plants were separated into nodules, root (everything below the first node except nodules) and shoots and the following parameter were assessed. Disease incidence was evaluated after 45 days of planting. The number of plants exhibiting root rot symptoms and percent disease incidence were estimated according the equation by Reddy *et al* [30].

$Percent \ disease \ incidence = \frac{Number \ of \ symptomatic \ plants}{Total \ number \ of \ plants} \ x \ 100$

To determine disease severity, individual plant root tissues assessed according to a 1-9 scale proposed by Abawi and Pastor-Corrales [31]. Where 1 = No visible symptoms, 3 = Light discoloration either without necrotic lesions or with approximately 10% of the hypocotyl and root tissues covered with lesions, 5 = Approximately 25% of the hypocotyls and root tissues covered with lesions combined with considerable softening, rotting, and reduction of root system, 9 = Approximately 75% or more of the hypocotyl and root tissues affected with advanced stages of rotting combined with severe reduction in the root system. With these data, for each replicates a Disease Severity Index (DSI) was calculated as follows

Disease severity index =
$$\frac{\sum(score \ x \ number \ of \ plants \ with \ this \ score)}{Total \ number \ of \ plants \ x \ greater \ score} x \ 100$$

The percentage of disease reduction (DR %) was calculated according to Edginton et al [32]:

$$DR(\%) = \frac{Dc - Dt}{DC} X 100,$$

where Dc is disease on the control plants that treated with only pathogen and Dt is disease on the treated with antagonist and pathogen.

Shoot, Root, root nodulation and also flower and leaf number were recorded. In order to measure dry weight of nodules, roots and shoots from each plant was placed in paper bags, dried at 70°C for 72 h in a hot oven, and weighed [33].

2.7. Data Analysis

The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the General Linear Modeling (GLM) procedure of SAS-9.2 software [34]. The disease incidence and severity data were arcsine transformed before subjected to ANOVA to normalize the data [35]. Tukey's test was performed at 5% level of significance to denote significant difference between the treatments.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. In Vitro Screening for Antagonism

A total of 41 *Rhizobium* isolates were screened for their potential to inhibit the *Fusarium solani* under *in vitro* assays (Table 2). The antagonistic isolates tested showed varying levels of effects against the *Fusarium solani*. Most of the *Rhizobium* isolates showed potent antifungal activity by restricting mycelial expansion on dual culture medium (Figs. **1B**, **C** and **D**). Some of the *Rhizobium* isolates did not show any antagonistic effects (Fig. **1E**).



Fig. (1). Control plate and dual culture media showing some *Rhizobium* isolate and *Fusarium solani* interactions: (A) *Fusarium solani* alone, (B) JU26(1) vs *F. solani*, (C) JU15(2) vs *F. solani*, (D) Ho-1WG vs *F. solani* and (E) Ho-2ST vs *F. solani*. Arrow indicate the spots of inhibition.

Isolates Code	Host	Percent Inhibition	Isolates Code	Host	Percent Inhibition
JU2(4)	Faba bean	50.0 ^f	JU1(4)	Faba bean	36.2 ^{ji}
JU-E(1)	Haricot bean	29.4 ^{lmn}	JU13(1)	Faba bean	24.5°p
JU1(2)	Faba bean	56.9°	Ho-4-1SG	Faba bean	35.2 ^{jk}
JU1(3)	Faba bean	44.1 ^g	JU47(2)	Faba bean	26.4 ^{opn}
JU8(1)	Pea	25.4 ^{op}	JU2(2)	Faba bean	23.5 ^{qp}
JU1(5)	Faba bean	14.7 ^r	JU2(3)	Faba bean	30.3 ^{lm}
Ho-1-1NG	Faba bean	0.0 ^s	JU-Y(1)	Haricot bean	61.7 ^{cbd}
Ho-1018	Faba bean	27.4 ^{omn}	JU3(2)	Faba bean	32.3 ^{lk}
Ho-3WG	Faba bean	62.7 ^{cbd}	JU8(2)	Faba bean	24.5°p
JU15(2)	Faba bean	64.7 ^b	JU8(3)	Faba bean	59.8 ^{ed}
JU3(1)	Faba bean	41.1 ^{hg}	JU-D(1)	Haricot bean	60.7 ^{cd}
JU26(1)	Faba bean	70.5 ^a	Ho-3-1SG	Faba bean	41.1 ^{hg}
JU S(1)	Haricot bean	29.4 ^{lmn}	JU5(2)	Faba bean	29.4 ^{lmn}
JU11(1)	Faba bean	39.2 ^{hi}	JU21(4)	Faba bean	39.2 ^{hi}
JU-G(2)	Haricot bean	59.8 ^{ed}	JU-Sr(1)	Haricot bean	20.5 ^q
Ho-2ST	Faba bean	0.0 ^s	JU26(2)	Faba bean	20.5 ^q
Ho-1WG	Faba bean	63.7 ^{cb}	Ho-7EG	Faba bean	35.2 ^{jk}
JU3(3)	Faba bean	24.5 ^{op}	Но-1035	Faba bean	20.5 ^q
Ho-5EG	Faba bean	11.7 ^r	Ho-2-1ST	Faba bean	11.7 ^r
Ho-2EG	Faba bean	20.5 ^q	Ho-2-1WG	Faba bean	26.4 ^{opn}
Ho-1EG	Faba bean	32.3 ^{1k}	Control	-	0.0 ^s
CV (%)			2.98		

Table 2. Effect of Rhizobium isolates on the radial growth of Fusarium solani.

*Values are mean of three replications. In a column, mean followed by a similar letter (s) are not significantly different at the 5% level by Tukey.

There were significant differences (p < 0.05) between rhizobial antagonists in inhibiting the mycelial expansion of *F. solani*, ranging from 0.0-70.5% radial growth inhibition, respectively (Table 2). Over 65.8% of the rhizobial antagonists tested (n = 27) exhibited remarkable fungal radial growth inhibition, against *F. solani* as compared to the control. The isolates Ho-1WG, JU15(2) and JU26(1), exerted their maximum inhibitory effect 63.7%, 64.7% and 70.5% against *F. solani*, respectively (Table 2). However, the isolates Ho-5EG, Ho-2-1ST and JU1(5) showed the least inhibition percent 11.7%, 11.7% and 14.7% against *F. solani*, respectively. It was pointed out by Chao [36] that the *Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar phaseoli* had an effect on the inhibition of the *Pythium*, *Fusarium* and *Rhizoctonia*

species. Also in a study Omar and Abd-Alla [37] determined that rhizobia significantly inhibited the mycelial growth of *Fusarium solani* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. In general, Lalande and Bissonette [38] showed that the inhibitory effect of the *Rhizobium* isolates vary from one isolates to another.

3.2. In Vitro Assay of Possible Biocontrol Mechanisms of Rhizobium Isolates

The seven (25.9%) isolates that showed remarkable inhibitory effect against *Fusarium solani* tested positive for chitinase (Table 3). Variation was observed among the isolates for the chitinase production. Mazen *et al.* [33] reported that chitinase enzyme was produced by rhizobial isolates but with different degrees. The *Rhizobium* isolates that were positive for chitinase activity in this study had significant mycelia radial growth inhibition (26.4-70.5%) against *Fusarium solani* (Table 2). In recent study, the rhizobacterial isolates that were positive for chitinase activity had significant radial growth inhibition (>40%) against *F. oxysporum* and *F. stilboides* and caused large inhibition zones (> 3 cm) against *F. xylarioides* [9].

In this investigation, twelve (44.4%) out of those that showed inhibitory effect against *Fusarium solani* tested positive for protease (Table 3). Variation was observed among the isolates for the protease activity. The variation in enzymatic activities of rhizobial isolates was reported by various workers. Kumari *et al.* [22] reported that out of 5 strains of rhizobia studied for protease production, 4 were positive.

Isolates code	Ну		HCN	IAA	Growth promoting traits Phosphate solubilisation		
	Chitinase	Protease	Lipase			(halo zone diameter in cm)	SI
JU-D(1)	+	+	-	-	+	0.1	1.08 ^h
Ho-1 WG	+	+	-	-	+	1.3	1.90 ^a
JU-Y(1)	-	+	+	-	-	0.2	1.12 ^{fhg}
JU47(2)	+	+	-	-	+	0.2	1.11 ^{hg}
JU8(3)	-	+	-	-	+	-	-
JU1(2)	-	+	-	-	+	0.3	1.08 ^h
JU-E(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JU-S(1)	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
Ho-2-1WG	-	-	-	-	+	0.5	1.37 [°]
JU11(1)	-	-	+	-	+	0.3	1.18 ^{feg}
JU4-1SG	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
JU-G(2)	-	+	-	-	+	0.2	1.20 ^{fe}
JU1(3)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
JU3(2)	+	÷	-	-	+	-	-
JU3(1)	-	-	-	-	+	0.4	1.67 ^b
Ho-3-1SG	-	-	-	+	+	0.2	1.09 ^h
JU21(4)	-	+	-	-	-	0.7	1.23 ^{de}
Ho-3WG	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
JU5(2)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
JU26(1)	+	+	-	-	+	0.3	1.32 ^{dc}
JU1(4)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
JU2(3)	-	-	-	+	+	0.5	1.38°
JU8(1)	-	+	-	-	+	0.7	1.88 ^a
Ho-1EG	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
JU2(4)	-	-	+	-	+		-
JU15(2)	+	+	-	-	+	0.2	1.17^{fheg}
Ho-1018	-	-	-	+	+		-
Control	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	0.00 ⁱ

Table 3. PGPR properties of Rhizobium isolates.

*Mean from two replications and values followed by the same letter(s) indicate no significant difference (p>0.05) at 95% confidence interval. Rhizobial isolates were recovered from different pulse crops rhizospheres. SI = solubilization index

138 The Open Agriculture Journal, 2018, Volume 12

The *Rhizobium* isolates that were positive for protease activity in this study showed significant mycelial growth inhibition (25.4-70.5%) against *Fusarium solani* (Table **3**). Kishore *et al.* [39] demonstrated that *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* which produced protease had significant inhibition (> 32%) against *Sclerotium rolfsii*. This is an indication that the enzyme protease has responsible effect on the phytopathogens. Earlier, Dunne *et al.* [40] confirmed that biocontrol of *Pythium ultimum* in the rhizosphere of sugar beet with *Stenotrophomonas maltophila* (Hugh) Palleroni and Bradbury W81 was due to the production of extracellular protease. Although, chitinolytic activity appears less essential for plant growth promoting bacteria such as *S. plymutica* IC14 when used to suppress *S. sclerotiorum* and *Botrytis cinerea*, synthesis of proteases are involved [41]. Our result seems to confirm the previous study on the responsibility of protease on biocontrol activity.

In this study, 4(14.8%) of those that showed inhibitory effect against *Fusarium solnai* were positive for lipase (Table **3**). Variation was observed among the isolates for the lipase activity as reported elsewhere [22]. The *Rhizobium* isolates that were positive for lipase activity in this study showed significant (p < 0.05) mycelial growth inhibition (39.2-62.7%) against *Fusarium solani* (Table **2**). Muleta *et al.* [9] indicated that all rhizobacterial isolates which were able to produce lipase had significant radial growth inhibition (> 37%) against *Fusarium stilboides*. This indicates that lipase enzyme is responsible for antifungal activity exhibited by the rhizobial isolates. Srividya *et al.* [42] verified that *Streptomyces* sp. 9p which produced lipase enzyme showed a significant inhibitory effect against fungal soilborne and foliar phytopathogens such as *Alternaria brassisicola* (OCA1), *Alternaria brassiceae* (OCA3) *Alternaria alternata* (OTA36) and *Rhizoctonia solani* (MTCC 4633).

Color of the picrate/ Na₂CO₃-impregnated paper strips changed from yellow (control) to light brown, brown or reddish brown as an indication of the strength of the cyanide produced (Fig. 2). In the current study, eight (29.6%) of the antagonists produced HCN (Table 3). This proportion is by far higher than that reported by Beauchamp *et al.* [43]. These authors further remarked that among the rhizobial isolates tested, 12.5% of them were found to be HCN producers.



Fig. (2). HCN production by Rhizobium isolates: (A) Control and (B) HCN production positive (JU-G(2).

A growing body of evidence showed that the inhibitory effect of *Bradyrhizobium* (Arachis) on *Macrophomina phaseolina* was due to HCN production [12]. Moreover, some research explained the antagonistic properties of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* against *Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. lentis* was due to excretion of antibiotics that have fungicidal action on condia of *F. oxysporum* [44]. *Rhizobium* was reported to produce toxic metabolites which have inhibitory effect against soilborne plant pathogens [45]. Defago et al. [46] have also demonstrated by mutational analysis and complementation that production of HCN by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain, CHAO accounted for about 60% of the biocontrol activity.

Most of the *Rhizobium* isolates tested in the present work were able to produce IAA in the presence of tryptophan (Table **3**). Among the 27 rhizobial isolates tested for IAA, 24(88.8%) of them produced IAA. Wang *et al.* [47] observed nodulating and non-nodulating strains of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* were able to produce indole-3-acetic acid. Indole-3-acetic acid which was produced by rhizobacteria increased root hair density, length and enhanced rice seed germination [48].

Over 50% of the total *Rhizobium* isolates showed clearly visible haloes (>0.15 cm) around their colonies (Fig. **3B**) on PA after 7 days of incubation. The phosphate solubilizing frequency of isolates of this study is comparable to other studies [49]. Among the 27 isolates tested for phosphate solubilisation, isolate JU21(4) produced the largest halo zone (1.3 cm), on Piskovskaya's Agar plates (Table **3**). Solubilisation Indices (SI) in PA are presented in Table **3**.

The SI of the potential P solubilising rhizobial isolates differed significantly (p < 0.05) and ranged from 1.0 to 1.9. The bacterial strain Ho-1WG showed the largest SI (1.9 cm) of solubilization, followed by JU8(1) and JU3(1). Phosphate solubilizing rhizobacteria convert insoluble phosphates into soluble monobasic form for plant uptake [50]. In a field study, Chabot *et al.* [51] have observed that phosphate solubilization by strains of *R. leguminosarum* by. *phaseoli*

was the most important mechanism of maize and lettuce growth promotion, in moderately fertile and very fertile soils. A recent extensive review Muleta [52] from both greenhouse and field trials has demonstrated a remarkable improvement in growth responses of various crops to phosphate solubilizing microorganisms' inoculations.



Fig. (3). Possible Plant Growth promoting mechanisms. (A) IAA production by *Rhizobium* isolates: JU-D(1), Ho-1018, JU5(2), JU1(4), JU26(1), JU15(2), Ho-1WG and Control, left to right respectively. (B) Phosphate Solubilization: Ho-1WG.

3.3. Effects of the Rhizobium Isolates on Seedlings

3.3.1. Disease

The results showed that there was significant (p < 0.05) interaction effect among types of treatment used and time of application of the isolates in reduction of disease incidence (Table 4). Under greenhouse conditions, all of the treatment with the *Rhizobium* isolates applied at time of pathogen inoculation and 7 days before and after have significantly reduced incidence of disease compared to the diseased (control) (Table 4).

Treatments	Time	Incidence	Incidence Reduction %	Severity	Severity Reduction %
JU26(1)	Before	(50)45.0 ^b ±0.0	50.0	(55.5)48.1 ^{cd} ±0.0	37.5
	At time	(50)45.0 ^b ±0.0	50.0	(61.1)51.4 ^{bc} ±0.0	27.7
	After	(50)45.0 ^b ±0.0	50.0	(64.8)53.6 ^b ±1.90	27.0
JU15(2)	Before	(25)30.0°±0.0	75.0	(38.8)38.5°±0.00	56.3
	At time	(25)30.0°±0.0	75.0	$(51.8)46.0^{d} \pm 1.8$	41.6
	After	(41)40.0 ^b ±8.6	59.0	(55.5)48.1 ^{cd} ±0.0	37.5
Ho-1WG	Before	(25)30.0°±0.0	75.0	(31.4)34.0 ^f ±2.00	64.6
	At time	(25)30.0°±0.0	75.0	$(38.5)38.5^{\circ} \pm 0.0$	56.6
	After	(50)45.0 ^b ±0.0	50.0	(57.3)49.2 ^{cd} ±1.8	35.4
Combination	Before	$(0)1.4^{d} \pm 0.0$	100.0	(23.7)29.1 ^g ±1.8	73.3
	At time	$(0)1.4^{d} \pm 0.0$	100.0	(31.2)33.9 ^f ±2.3	64.8
	After	(25)30.0°±0.0	75.0	(35.1)36.3 ^{ef} ±1.9	60.4
Control (diseased) Before		(100)88.6 ^a ±0.0	0	(88.8)70.4 ^a ±0.00	0
Mean	•	(35.85)35.5±0.6	64.1	48.73(44.4)±1.04	44.8
CV (%)		5.3		2.8	

Table 4. Interaction effects of types of isolates and time of application on suppression of *F. solani* under greenhouse condition.

*Means with the same letter is not significantly different at p = 0.05 according to Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD). There were 3 replicates in each treatment (mean ± SD). Values in bracket are actual data before transformation. Control (only *F. solani* with at antagonist). Before; treating the seedling with the rhizobial isolate 7 day before the pathogen, At time; treating the seedling at the same time with the pathogen, After; treating the seedling with the isolate 7 day after the pathogen, Disease reduction percent, DR (%)=(Dc-Dt)/DC X 100, Dc is disease on the control plants; Dt is disease on the treated

Application of combination of *Rhizobium* isolates to seedling before the time of pathogen inoculation gave the highest (88.6%) reduction in disease incidence of root rot on Faba bean plants. The lowest (50.0%) percentage of disease incidence reduction was obtained by application of JU26(1) to seedling. There was no significant (p>0.05) difference obtained in the disease incidence when the rhizobial treatments were added 7 days before pathogen inoculation and at the same time of pathogen inoculation (Table 4). Among different treatments applied to seedlings, disease (black root rot) count was maximum (100%) in diseased (control).

All of the Rhizobium treatments applied at the same time with pathogen and 7 days before and after significantly

reduced disease severity compared to the control (Table 4). However, the highest reduction in black root rot (BRR) severity (73.3%) was observed with application of combination of isolates before the pathogen inoculation followed by at the same time with pathogen inoculation with mean value of 64.8%. The lowest (27.0%) percentage of disease severity reduction was obtained by application of JU26(1) to seedling after 7 days of pathogen inoculation followed by at the same time of pathogen inoculation with mean value of 27.7%.

The present study showed that *Rhizobium* isolates proved to be effective in controlling *F. solani* the causative agent of Faba bean root rot disease. Combination of the isolates proved to be more effective in controlling the disease than all the tested individual isolates. This indicates *Rhizobium* isolates were beneficial for suppressing root rot. Rhizobia have been reported as best control of root infecting fungi on both leguminous and non-leguminous plants [53]. The potentiality of *Rhizobium* as biocontrol agents of phytopathogenic fungi in soybean is well known especially to *Macrophomina phaseolina*, causative agent of charcoal rot disease [45].

Combined application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria has significantly lowered *Fusarium* wilt disease of *Capsicum annum* L. caused by *Fusarium solani* compared to individual isolate [54]. Advantages of strain mixtures include, broad spectrum of action, enhanced efficacy, reliability and also allow combination of various traits without genetic engineering [55].

The reduction of root rot incidence and severity obtained in *Rhizobium* isolates inoculated plants in this study could be related to antifungal activity of *Rhizobium* isolates. There are many mechanisms suggested to clarify the role of antagonistic organisms in suppression of pathogens growth and thus to control diseases. *Rhizobium* spp. isolated from Algerian soil, were found to produce bacteriocins with antimicrobial activities against *Pseudomonas savastanoi*, the agent responsible for olive knot disease [56]. The Rhizobia present in the rhizosphere of plants presumably prevent the contact of pathogenic fungi on roots by covering the hyphal tip of *Rhizoctonia solani* and by parasitizing it [57]. The isolates used for inoculation in the present study exhibited the capacity to produce protease, lipase, chitinase and hydrogen cyanide (Table 5) and therefore might have significantly contributed to the reduction of disease incidence and severity of root rot.

Treatment	Time of Application	Nodu	ılation	
		Number	Dry Weight	
JU26(1)	Before	$0.97^{\rm g} \pm 0.0$	$0.04^{g} \pm 0.0$	
	At time	$0.0^{\text{g}} \pm 0.0$	$0.0^{g} \pm 0.0$	
	After	$0.0^{\rm g} \pm 0.0$	$0.0^{g} \pm 0.0$	
JU15(2)	Before	$4.43^{\rm f}\pm0.0$	$0.02^{\rm ef} \pm 0.0$	
Γ	At time	$1.0^{\rm g} \pm 0.0$	$0.01^{\rm fg}\pm 0.0$	
	After	$0.0^{\rm g} \pm 0.0$	$0.0^{ m g}\pm 0.0$	
Ho-1WG	Before	$26.42^{a} \pm 0.9$	0.15 ^b ±0.0	
Γ	At time	$24.3^{b} \pm 0.2$	$0.02^{de} \pm 0.0$	
	After	$16.3^{d} \pm 0.1$	$0.01^{\rm fg}\pm 0.0$	
Combination	Before	$25.33^{b} \pm 0.5$	$0.17^{a} \pm 0.0$	
	At time	$22.3^{\circ} \pm 0.5$	$0.1^{\circ} \pm 0.0$	
	After	$14.4^{e} \pm 0.3$	$0.03^{d} \pm 0.0$	
Heal	th (un-inoculated)	$0.0^{g} \pm 0.0$	$0.0^{g} \pm 0.0$	
Co	ontrol (diseased)	$0.0^{g} \pm 0.0$	$0.0^{g} \pm 0.0$	

Table 5. Nodulation of Faba bean as influenced by the interaction effects of types of isolates and time of application under
greenhouse condition.

*Means with the same letter is not significantly different at p = 0.05 according to Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD). There were 3 replicates in each treatment (mean \pm SD).

Timing of *Rhizobium* inoculation was also a factor in determining the level of root rot reduction. The incidence and severity of root rot incited by the *Fusarium solani* were highly reduced when Faba bean plants were inoculated 7 days before the pathogen whereas, the damage was of intermediate order in simultaneous application. These findings are in agreement with [58]. It seems that earlier establishment of *Rhizobium* protected the plant. It might have due to induced systemic resistance.

3.3.2. Growth Parameters

The roots of uninoculated and those infected with *Fusarium solani* only (control) were devoid of any nodules (Table **5**). Root nodule number of control plant infected by *Fusarium solani* was not significantly (p>0.05) different from that of uninoculated (Table **5**). Under greenhouse conditions, all treatments applied before pathogen inoculation significantly increased root nodule number compared to the control except JU26(1) inoculation. Application of Ho-1WG isolates to seedling before pathogen inoculation gave the highest (26.4) root nodule number followed by at the same time of pathogen inoculation and after inoculation. The lowest (0.0) root nodule number was obtained by application of JU26(1) after and at the same time of pathogen inoculation and followed by before inoculation.

Before and at time of pathogen inoculation of Ho-1WG, combination and JU15(2) were significantly (p<0.05) increased nodule dry weight compared to the control (diseased) and health (uninoculated). The of suspension isolate JU26(1) was not significantly increased nodule dry weight in either of time of application. Application of isolate combination resulted in the highest (0.17 g/plant) nodule dry weight when applied before followed by at the same time of pathogen inoculation.

Results reported herein indicated that *Rhizobium* isolates treatments not only suppressed both disease incidence and severity but also enhanced nodule number, nodule fresh weight and nodule dry weight of Faba bean plants compared to infected control. The findings suggest that incorporation of *Rhizobium* is important for plant growth promotion by increasing mineral uptake of plants and producing IAA. Similar studies Akhtar and Siddiqui [59] have shown that the addition of specific *Rhizobium and Bacillus* isolates to the rhizosphere can result in increased nodulation of chickpea and soybean plants.

IAA synthesizing rhizobia have been found to nodulate more intensely than IAA negative mutants [60]. Sheng [61] reported that besides fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, the nodulation effect of rhizobial isolates is due to the production of plant growth regulators such as Auxins and cytokinins like substance. The isolates used for inoculation in the present study exhibited the capacity to solubilize phosphorus and produced IAA (Table **5**) and therefore might have contributed to the enhanced nodulation.

Over all, significant results have been shown in before application followed by at time of pathogen inoculation. The result of this study corresponds with work done by Yaqub *et al.* [29] who found that increased nodulation in treatments with prior application of *Bradyrhizobium* and decreased nodule formation in simultaneous or delayed applications on *Meloidogyne incognita* inoculated black gram, *Vigna mungo* plants.

Shoot height of control plant (infected with *Fusarium solani*) was significantly lower than that of uninfected (health) (Table 6). Generally, all treatments applied at time of pathogen inoculation and 7 days before and after pathogen inoculation significantly increased shoot height compared to the control (diseased). The combination of isolates produced the maximum (48.0 cm/plant) shoots height in response to before inoculation followed by at the same time of pathogen inoculation. Isolate JU26(1) produced the lowest (34.3 cm/plant) shoot height when applied after pathogen inoculation followed by before inoculation and at the same time of pathogen inoculation. There was no significant (p>0.05) difference between isolates JU15(2) and JU26(1) with regard to shoot height in three time of applications. The significant shoot height variation was observed between treatments.

Shoot dry weight of control plant infected with *Fusarium solani* was significantly lower than that of uninfected (health). Combination of isolates produced the highest (3.6 g/plant) shoot dry weight per plant in case of before inoculation, which was not significantly different than at time of inoculation. After inoculation treatment in case of JU26(1) produced lowest (1.0 g/plant) shoot dry weight followed by at time inoculation, which not significantly different with diseased control.

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at p = 0.05 according to Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD). There were 3 replicates in each treatment (mean \pm SD)

Root length was significantly lower in plants infected with *Fusarium solani* than in uninfected plants, or those inoculated with either treatment (Table 6). The pathogenic influence on root length in *Fusarium solani* -inoculated plants was significantly less when applied at the same time of pathogen inoculation and 7 days before and after inoculated with each biocontrol agent compared to the infected control (diseased control). The combination of isolates produced the maximum (35.6 cm/plant) root length in response to before inoculation followed by at the same time of pathogen inoculation. After inoculation treatment with isolate JU15(2) produced the lowest (21.0 cm/plant) root length followed by other time of applications.

Treatment	Time of	Growth Parameter						
Application		Sho	oot	Re	oot	Flower	Leaf	
		Height	Dry wt.	Length	Dry wt.	Number	Number	
JU26(1)	Before	$38.2^{\text{cd}} \pm 0.6$	$1.8^{\text{ef}} \pm 0.0$	28.6 ^{bcd} ±1.1	$1.0^{e} \pm 0.0$	$14.6^{cd} \pm 0.5$	$30.6^{\text{cd}} \pm 0.2$	
	At time	$35.8^{de} \pm 1.5$	$1.4^{\text{gh}} \pm 0.0$	25.3°±0.5	$0.8^{\rm f}\pm0.0$	$9.6^{e} \pm 0.5$	$28.9^{\text{def}} \pm 0.7$	
	After	$34.3^{de} \pm 1.8$	$1.0^{\rm h}\pm 0.0$	22.3 ^{fg} ±0.5	$0.6^{\text{gh}}\pm0.0$	$6.6^{f} \pm 0.5$	$27.3^{\text{efg}} \pm 0.2$	
JU15(2)	Before	$37.0^{\text{cde}} \pm 0.6$	$2.0^{e} \pm 0.0$	29.6 ^{bc} ±0.5	$1.0^{\rm e} \pm 0.0$	$17.0^{\text{b}}\pm1.0$	$29.4^{\text{de}} \pm 0.1$	
	At time	$35.8^{de} \pm 1.5$	$1.3^{gh} \pm 0.0$	29.6 ^{bc} ±0.5	$1.0^{e} \pm 0.0$	$13.6^{d} \pm 0.5$	$28.0^{\text{ef}} \pm 0.6$	
	After	$35.0^{de} \pm 1.3$	$1.1^{gh} \pm 0.0$	21.0 ^g ±1.0	$0.7^{\text{g}} \pm 0.0$	$13.0^{d} \pm 1.0$	$25.2^{\text{gh}}\pm0.4$	
Ho-1WG	Before	$40.6^{\rm bc}\pm1.8$	$2.9^{\text{cd}}\pm0.0$	31.0 ^b ±1.0	$1.5^{\circ} \pm 0.0$	$20.3^{a}\pm1.1$	$33.9^{ab} \pm 0.9$	
	At time	36.4 ^{de} ±0.3	$2.6^{d} \pm 0.0$	29.3 ^{bc} ±1.1	$1.2^{d} \pm 0.0$	$14.6^{cd} \pm 0.5$	$32.3^{bc} \pm 1.1$	
	After	$36.8^{\text{cde}} \pm 2.5$	$1.5^{\rm fg}\pm0.5$	26.0 ^{de} ±1.0	$0.9^{\rm ef}\pm0.0$	$14.3^{\text{cd}}\pm0.5$	$29.0^{\text{def}} \pm 0.1$	
Combination	Before	$48.0^{a} \pm 0.7$	$3.6^{a} \pm 0.1$	35.6 ^a ±1.1	$2.7^{a} \pm 0.0$	$20.6^{a} \pm 0.5$	$36.0^{a}\pm0.7$	
	At time	42.8 ^b ± 1.0	$3.3^{ab}\pm0.0$	27.3 ^{cde} ±1.1	$2.5^{\text{b}}\pm0.0$	$15.6^{bc} \pm 1.1$	$32.0^{bc} \pm 1.0$	
	After	37.5 ^{cde} ±1.0	$3.1^{\rm bc}\pm 0.0$	24.6 ^{ef} ±0.5	0.7gh ±0.0	$14.3^{cd} \pm 0.5$	$27.0^{\rm fg}\pm0.9$	
Health (un-	Health (un-inoculated)		$1.5^{\rm fg}\pm0.0$	27.0 ^{cde} ±1.0	$1.2^{d} \pm 0.0$	$7.3^{f} \pm 0.2$	$24.6^{\rm h}\pm0.7$	
Control (diseased)		25.2 ^f ±1.2	$1.0^{h} \pm 0.0$	$17.3^{h}\pm 0.5$	$0.5^{\rm h}\pm0.0$	$4.3^{g}\pm0.2$	$20.6^{\rm i}\pm0.7$	

Table 6. Combined effect of types of isolates and time of application on shoot, root, flower and leaf on Faba bean under greenhouse.

Root dry weight was significantly lower in plants infected with *Fusarium solani* than in uninfected plants (Table 6). Root dry weight was significantly (p<0.05) less when applied at the same time of pathogen inoculation and 7 days before inoculated with each of treatments compared to the infected control. The highest (2.7 g/plant) root dry weight was measured in response to before inoculation followed by at the same time of pathogen inoculation with combination of isolates. After inoculation treatment with JU26(1) produced the lowest (0.6 g/plant) root dry weight followed by at the same time and before inoculations. The highest (20.6/plant) flower number was recorded in response to before inoculation.

No significant (p>0.05) difference was observed between combination and Ho-1WG application on flower number of Faba bean plants. The combination of isolates produced the highest (36.0/plant) leaf number in response to before inoculation followed by at time of pathogen inoculation. After inoculation of JU15(2) produced the lowest (25.2/plant) leaf number followed by at the same time.

The Faba bean plants remained stunted and showed poor growth response in the absence of *Rhizobium* isolates. However, the biocontrol agents posed increased shoot height, shoot weight, root length, and lesser damage of flower number to *Fusarium solani* inoculated plants. Multiple lines of evidence Antoun *et al.* [14] demonstrate that rhizobial isolates enhance plant health and growth through multiple mechanisms. Increase in shoot and root length of several crop plants due to inoculation of phosphate solublizing *Rhizobium* isolates have been reported [10]. Increased cell elongation and multiplication due to enhanced nutrient uptake by plants following inoculation of Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms may have caused the increased plant height [62]. In nonlegumes, IAA produced by rhizobia may stimulate plant shoot and root systems [63]. The isolates used for inoculation in the present study exhibited the capacity to solubilize phosphorus and produce IAA which could contribute to improved growth parameters.

The findings suggest that incorporation of *Rhizobium* is important for plant growth promotion by increasing mineral uptake of plants and producing IAA. Similar studies Noel *et al.* [64] observed that several strains of *R. leguminosarum* bv. *viciae* promoted the early seedling root growth of canola and lettuce. The observed growth stimulation was associated with the production of the plant growth regulators Indole- 3-Acetic Acid (IAA) and cytokinin. The role of inorganic P-solubilization as a mechanism in maize growth promotion was analyzed by using two Lux+ mutants of *R. leguminosarum* bv. *phaseoli* R1 with reduced solubilization activity [65].

Inoculation of combinations of *Rhizobium* isolates resulted in greater plant height, shoot dry weight, root length, root dry weight, flower and leaf number as compared to just single isolates. This indicates that *Rhizobium* isolates have synergetic interactions. The combination of biocontrol agents may better adapt to the environmental changes, protect against a broader range of pathogens, increase the genetic diversity of biocontrol systems that persist longer in the rhizosphere, utilize a wider array of biocontrol mechanisms [66], enhance the efficacy and reliability of control [67], and allow the combination of various mechanisms of biocontrol without the need for genetic engineering [68].

The Effect of Rhizobia Isolates

The average disease reduction for mixtures was 45.1% compared to 29.2% for individual strains. In addition to disease reduction strain mixtures increased biomatter production and yield compared to individual strains [28]. Under gnotobiotic conditions, in dual inoculation trials of lettuce, a very significant interaction was observed between *Sinorhizobium meliloti* and the AM fungus *Glomus mosseae*. This translated into a 476% increase in shoot dry matter yield of 40-day old plants [69], and the growth promoting effect reported was not accompanied by an increase in root colonization by the AM fungus. This observation suggests that the PGPR rhizobial strain did not act as a mycorrhizal helper bacterium [70], but that rather that the fungus stimulated the PGPR activity.

It was suggested that these multiples of beneficial traits strongly assist the efficiency of candidate antagonists for desired biocontrol methods, emphasizing the great value of concerted mechanisms of action. It has been strongly suggested that the main success of biocontrol agents is largely attributable to their multifunctional characteristics [71] and synergistic interactions [72].

CONCLUSION

The result of study clearly demonstrated that rhizobial isolates exhibited inhibition of the radial growth of *F. solani* under *in vitro* conditions. Rhizobial isolates tested showed varying levels of effects against the *F. solani*. The fungal radial mycelia reduction could be occurred partly due to several modes of actions (Chitinase, Lipase, Protease and HCN production). In pot experiment, the isolates showed significant diseases reduction relative to the positive control. In general, rhizobial antagonists were found to reduce black root rot disease incidence and severity, and enhanced faba bean growth relative to control indicating that rhizobial biological control has considerable promise in suppression of *F. solani* population. Combination of the isolates applied 7 days before pathogen inoculation was found to be the most efficient in reducing black root rot incidence and severity compared to other rhizobial treatment. Therefore, further field evaluation will be important to ascertain the antagonistic efficacy of those promising rhizobial isolates.

APPENDIX

Appendix 1. Some physico-chemical properties of soil.

Properties	Units	Amount
Sand	%	8.00
Silt	%	18.00
Clay	%	74.00
Texture class	-	Clay
pH (1:2.5 soil: water)	-	5.29
E. C. (1:2.5 soil: water)	ds/m	0.07
Organic matter	%	3.83
Organic carbon	%	2.22
Total nitrogen	%	0.19
Available phosphorus	ppm	3.41

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research is financed by Jimma University and Humbo Woreda Administration. Thanks for Microbial Biotechnology Unit, Holeta Agricultural Research Center.

REFERENCES

- [1] CSA. Report on area and production of major crops. Central statistical Authority A.A Ethiopia 2014.
- [2] Agegnehu G, Fessehaie R. Response of Faba bean to phosphate fertilizer and weed control on nitisols of Ethiopian highlands. Ital J Agron 2006; 2: 281-90.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.4081/ija.2006.281]

- [3] Gorfu D, Beshir T. Faba bean disease in Ethiopia. In: Asfaw Tesfaye, Geletu Bejiga, Mohan C.Saxena and Mahmoud B.solh (Eds.), Cool season food legumes of Ethiopia 1994; pp: 328- 327. Proceeding of first National cool season food legumes Review conference. 16-20 December, 1993. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
- [4] Habtu A, Gorfu D. A review of food legumes disease research in Ethiopia. A review of Crop Protection Research in Ethiopia. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia 1985; pp. 345-500.
- [5] Tadesse N, Ahmed S, Gorfu G, et al. Review of Research on Diseases Food Legumes. In: Tadesse A (Eds), Increasing Crop Production through Improved Plant Protection. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Plant Protection Society of Ethiopia 2006; pp. 85-132.
- [6] Beshir T. Evaluation of Faba bean cultivars for resistance to black root rot (Fusarium solani). In: The Proceedings of Third Annual Conference of the Crop Protection Society of Ethiopia. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia 1985; pp. 201-6.
- [7] Butt TM, Jackson CW, Murugan W. Fungi as Biocontrol Agents, Progress, Problems and Potentials. UK: CBBS Publishing Co 2001; pp. 240-2.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/9780851993560.0000]

- [8] Vander Vossen HAM. A critical analysis of the agronomic and economic sustainability of organic coffee production. Exp Agric 2005; 41: 449-73.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0014479705002863]
- [9] Muleta D, Assefa F, Granhall U. In vitro antagonism of rhizobacteria isolated from Coffea arabica L. against emerging fungal coffee pathogens. Eng Life Sci 2007; 6: 577-86.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/elsc.200700004]
- [10] Estevez de Jensen C, Percich JA, Graham PH. The effect of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Rhizobium* inoculation of dry bean seed on root rot severity and yield in Minnesota. Annu Rep Bean Improv Coop 2002; 45: 98-9.
- [11] Arora NK, Kang SC, Maheswari DK. Isolation of siderophore- producing strains of *Rhizobium meliloti* and their biocontrol potential against *Macrophomina phaseolina* that causes charcoal rot groundnut. Curr Sci 2001; 81: 673-7.
- [12] Deshwal VK, Dubey RC, Maheshwari DK. Isolation of plant growth promoting strains of *Bradyrhizobium* (Arachis) sp. with biocontrol potential against *Macrophomina phaseolina* causing charcoal rot of peanut. Curr Sci 2003; 84: 443-8.
- [13] Alikhani H, Saleh-Rastin N, Antoun H. Phosphate solubilation activity of Rhizobia native to Iranian soil. Plant Soil 2006; 287: 35-41. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9059-6]
- [14] Antoun H, Beauchamp J, Goussard N, Chabot R, Lalande R. Potential of *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* species as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on non-legumes: effect on radishes (*Raphanus sativus* L). Plant Soil 1998; 204: 57-67. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1004326910584]
- [15] Abera A, Lemessa F, Muleta D. The antifungal activity of some medicinal plants against coffee berry disease caused by *Colletotrichum Kahawae*. Int J Agric Res 2011; 6: 268-79.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ijar.2011.268.279]
- [16] Demisse S. Isolation and characterization of legume plant associated PSB and their effect on growth of Faba bean, Jimma zone south west Ethiopia MS thesis. Ethiopia: Jimma Univ. 2012.
- [17] Vincent JM. A manual for the practical study of the root nodule bacteria. Oxford and Edinburgh, UK: Blackwell Scientific Publications 1970; Vol. IBP15.
- [18] Gupta C, Kumar P, Dubey B, Maheshwari DK. Chitinase- mediated destructive antagonistic potential of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* GRC1 against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* causing stem rot of peanut. BioControl 2006; 51: 821-35. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10526-006-9000-1]
- [19] Lokesha NM, Benagi VI. Biological management of pigeonpea dry root rot caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Karnataka J Agric Sci 2007; 20: 54-6.
- [20] Renwick A, Campbell R, Coe S. Assessment of *in vivo* screening systems for potential biocontrol agents of *Gaeumannomyces graminis*. Plant Pathol 1991; 40: 524-32.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.1991.tb02415.x]
- [21] Smibert RM, Krieg NR. Phenotypic characterization. Methods for General and Molecular Biology. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology 1994; pp. 607-54.
- [22] Kumari BS, Ram MR, Mallaiah KV. Studies on nodulation, biochemical analysis and protein profiles of *Rhizobium* isolated from *Indigofera* species. Malays J Microbiol 2010; 6(2): 133-9.
- [23] Bakker A, Schippers B. Microbial cyanide production in the rhizosphere in relation to potato yield reduction and *Pseudomonas* spp.-mediated plant growth-stimulation. Soil Biol Biochem 1987; 19: 451-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(87)90037-X]
- [24] Gordon SA, Weber RP. Colorimetric estimation of indoleactic acid production. Plant Physiol 1951; 26(1): 192-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.26.1.192] [PMID: 16654351]
- [25] Pikovskaya RI. Mobilization of phosphorus in soil connection with the vital activity of some microbial species. Microbiologiya 1948; 17: 362-70.

The Effect of Rhizobia Isolates

- [26] Edi-Premono M, Moawad MA, Vleck PLG. Effect of phosphate solubilizing Pseudomonas putida on the growth of maize and its survival in the rhizosphere. Indonesian J Crop Sci 1996; 11: 13-23.
- [27] Arfaoui A, Sifi B, Boudabous A, El Hadrami I, Cherif M. Identification of *Rhizobium* isolates possessing antagonistic activity against *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. ciceris, the causal agent of Fusarium wilt of chickpea. J Plant Pathol 2006; 88: 67-75.
- [28] Nandakumar R, Babu S, Viswanathan R, Sheela J, Raguchander T, Samiyappan R. A new bio-formulation containing plant growth promoting rhizobacterial mixture for the management of sheath blight and enhanced grain yield in rice. Biol Control 2001; 46: 493-510.
- [29] Yaqub BM, Abdul Hamid W, Munawar F. Effect of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on *Meloidogyne incognita* inoculated black gram, *Vigna mungo* plants. J Biopesticides 2012; 5(1): 36-43.
- [30] Reddy DVR, Amin PM, Mcdonald D, Ghanekar AM. Epidemiology and control of groundnut bud necrosis and other diseases of legume crops in India caused by *Tomato spotted wilt virus*. Proceedings of Plant Virus Epidemiology Conference. 29-31 July 1981; Oxford, UK: Blackwell 1983; pp. 93-102.
- [31] Abawi GS, Pastor-Corrales MA. Root rots of beans in Latin America and Africa: Diagnosis, Research Methodologies, and Management Strategies. CIAT pub.No.35, Cali, Colombia 1990; pp: 114.
- [32] Edgington LV, Khew KL, Barron GL. Fungitoxic spectrum of benzimidazole compounds. Phytopathology 1971; 61: 42. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-61-42]
- [33] Mazen MM, Abd NH. EI-Monium MM, Massoud ON. Cultural filtrate of *Rhizobium* spp. and *Arbuscular Mycorrhiza* are potential biological control agents against root rot fungal disease of faba bean. Global J Biotechnol Biochem 2008; 3: 32-41.
- [34] SAS-institute SAS/STATA Guide for personal computer version 9.2. SAS-institute, cary 2008; USA.
- [35] Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. 2nd ed. New York: John Willey and Sons 1984.
- [36] Chao WL. Antagonistic activity of Rhizobium spp. against beneficial and plant pathogenic fungi. Lett Appl Microbiol 2002; 10: 213-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.1990.tb01336.x]
- [37] Omar SA, Abd-Alla MH. Biocontrol of fungal root rot diseases of crop plants by the use of rhizobia and bradyrhizobia. Folia Microbiol (Praha) 1998; 43: 431-7.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02818587]
- [38] Lalande R, Bissonette N. A note on *in vitro* inhibition studies between *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar viceae isolates and mycelial growth of root-infecting fungi. Phytoprotection 1989; 70: 105-8.
- [39] Kishore GK, Pande S, Podile AR. Biological control of collar rot disease with broad-spectrum antifungal bacteria associated with groundnut. Can J Microbiol 2005; 51(2): 123-32. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/w04-119] [PMID: 16091770]
- [40] Dunne C, Crowley J, Moenne-Laccoz Y, Dowling D, de Bruijn F, O'Gara F. Biological control of *Pythium ultimum* by *Stenotrophomonas maltophila* W81 is mediated by an extracellular proteolytic activity. Microbiology 1997; 143: 3921-31. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00221287-143-12-3921]
- [41] Kamensky M, Ovadis M, Chet I, Chernin L. Soil-borne strain IC14 of Serratia plymuthica with multiple mechanisms of antifungal activity provides biocontrol of Botrytis cinerea and Sclerotinia sclerotiorum diseases. Soil Biol Biochem 2003; 35: 323-31. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00283-3]
- [42] Srividya S, Adarshana T, Deepika V, Bhat Kajingailu G, Nilanjan D. Streptomyces sp. 9p as effective biocontrol against chilli soilborne fungal phytopathogens. Eur J Exp Biol 2012; 2: 163-73.
- [43] Beauchamp CJ, Dion P, Kloepper JW, Antoun H. Physiological characterization of opine-utilizing rhizobacteria for traits related to plant growth- promoting activity. Plant Soil 1981; 132: 273-329.
- [44] Essalmani H, Lahlou H. In vitro antagonistic activity of some microorganisms toward Fusarium oxysporum fsp lentis crypto-mycolo. 2002.
- [45] Chakraborty U, Purkayastha RP. Role of rhizobitoxine in protecting soybean roots from *Macrophomina phaseolina* infection. Can J Microbiol 1984; 30(3): 285-9.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/m84-043] [PMID: 6539157]
- [46] Defago G, Berling CH, Burger U, et al. Suppression of black root rot of tobacco and other root diseases by strains of Pseudomonas fluorescens: Potential applications and mechanisms. In: Horny, D (Eds), Biological control of soil borne pathogens. CAB International, Walling ford 1990; pp. 93-108.
- [47] Wang TL, Wood EA, Brewin NJ. Growth regulators, Rhizobium and nodulation in peas : Indole-3-acetic acid from the culture medium of nodulating and non-nodulating strains of R. leguminosarum. Planta 1982; 155(4): 345-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00429463] [PMID: 24271871]
- [48] Ashrafuzzaman M, Hossen FA, Razi IM, et al. Efficiency of Plant Growth- Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) for the enhancement of rice growth. Afr J Biotechnol 2009; 8: 1247-52.
- [49] Hani A, Chantal J, Nadia G, Rock C, Roger L. Potential of *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* species as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on non-legumes: Effect on radishes (*Raphanus sativus* L.). Plant Soil 1998; 204: 57-67. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1004326910584]

- [50] Gyaneshwar PB, Kumar GH, Parekh LJ, Poole PS. Role of soil microorganisms in improving P nutrition of plants. Plant Soil 2002; 245: 83-93.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1020663916259]
- [51] Chabot R, Antoun H, Cescas M. Growth promotion of maize and lettuce by phosphate-solubilizing *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar phaseoli. Plant Soil 1996; 184: 311-21. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00010460]
- [52] Muleta D. Phosphate solubilizing microbes: potentials and success in greeenhouse and field applications. Phosphate Solubilizing Microbes for Crop Improvement. New York: Nova Science Publishers Inc. 2009; pp. 281-308.
- [53] Ehteshamul-Haque S, Ghaffar A. Use of Rhizobia in the control of root rot disease of sunflower, Okar, Soyabean and Mungbean. J Phytopathol 1993; 138: 157-63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0434.1993.tb01372.x]
- [54] Sundaramoorthy S, Raguchander T, Ragupathi N, Samiyappan R. Combinatorial effect of endophytic and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria against wilt disease of *Capsicum annum* L. caused by *Fusarium solani*. Biol Control 2012; 60: 59-67.
- [55] Janisiewicz WJ. Ecological diversity, niche overlap, and coexistence of antagonists used in developing mixtures for biocontrol of postharvest diseases of apples. Phytopathology 1996; 86: 473-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-86-473]
- [56] Mourad K, Fadhila K, Chahinez M, Meriem R, Philippe D, Abdelkader B. Antimicrobial activities of *Rhizobium* sp. strains against *Pseudomonas savastanoi*, the agent responsible for the olive knot disease in Algeria. Grasas Aceites 2009; 60: 139-46. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3989/gya.074808]
- [57] Tu CM. Effects of Pyrethroid insecticide seed treatment on *Rhizbium japonicum* and its symbiotic relationship with, and growth of soybean. J Environ Sci (China) 1983; 26: 557-73.
- [58] Kokalis-Burelle N, Vavrina CS, Rosskopf EN, Shelby RA. Field evaluation of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria amended transplant mixes and soil solarization for tomato and pepper production in Florida. Plant Soil 2002; 238: 257-66. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1014464716261]
- [59] Akhtar MS, Siddiqui ZA. Biocontrol of a root-rot disease complex of chickpea by *Glomus intraradices, Rhizobium* sp. and *Pseudomonas straita.* Crop Prot 2008; 23: 410-7. a [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2007.07.009]
- [60] Boiero L, Perrig D, Masciarelli O, Penna C, Cassán F, Luna V. Phytohormone production by three strains of Bradyrhizobium japonicum and possible physiological and technological implications. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2007; 74(4): 874-80. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0731-9] [PMID: 17136369]
- [61] Sheng C. Hormones and direct effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on higher plants In: Ph D Thesis. Alta. Canada: Calgary Univ. 1993.
- [62] Peix A, Rivas-Boyero AA, Mateos PF. Rodriguez- Barrueco C, Martinez-Molina E, Velaquez E. Growth promotion of chickpea and barley by a phosphate solubilizing strain of *Mesorhizobium mediterraneum* under growth chamber conditions. Soil Biol Biochem 2001; 33: 103-10. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00120-6]
- [63] Dazzo FB, Yanni YG. The natural *Rhizobium*-cereal crop association as an example of plant-bacteria interaction.Biological Approaches to Sustainable Soil Systems. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press 2006; pp. 109-27. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1201/9781420017113.ch8]
- [64] Noel TC, Sheng C, Yost CK, Pharis RP, Hynes MF. *Rhizobium leguminosarum* as a plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium: Direct growth promotion of canola and lettuce. Can J Microbiol 1996; 42(3): 279-83. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/m96-040] [PMID: 8868235]
- [65] Chabot R, Beauchamp CJ, Kloepper JW, Antoun H. Effect of phosphorus on root colonization and growth promotion of maize by bioluminescent mutants of phosphate-solubilizing *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar *Phaseoli*. Soil Biol Biochem 1998; 30: 1615-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(98)00054-6]
- [66] Pierson EA, Weller DM. Use of mixture of fluorescent pseudomonads to suppress take-all and improve the growth of wheat. Phytopatology 1994; 84: 940-7.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-84-940]
- [67] Duffy BK. Weller. Use of *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var *gramins* alone and in combination with fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. to suppress take-all of wheat. Plant Dis 1995; 79: 907-11. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/PD-79-0907]
- [68] Janisiewicz WJ. Biocontrol of postharvest diseases of apples with antagonistic mixtures. Phytopathology 1988; 78: 194-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-78-194]
- [69] Galleguillos C, Aguirre C, Azcón R, Azcon R. Growth promoting effect of two Sinorhizobium meliloti strains (a wild type and its genetically modified derivative) on a non-legume plant species in specific interaction with two arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Plant Sci 2000; 159(1): 57-63.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(00)00321-6] [PMID: 11011093]

The Effect of Rhizobia Isolates

- [70] Budi SW, van Tuinen D, Martinotti G, Gianinazzi S. Isolation from the Sorghum bicolor mycorrhizosphere of a bacterium compatible with arbuscular mycorrhiza development and antagonistic towards soilborne fungal pathogens. Appl Environ Microbiol 1999; 65(11): 5148-50. [PMID: 10543835]
- [71] Vassilev N, Vassileva M, Nikolaeva I. Simultaneous P-solubilizing and biocontrol activity of microorganisms: Potentials and future trends. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2006; 71(2): 137-44.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0380-z] [PMID: 16544140]
- [72] Zargar MY, Beigh GM, Beigh GM. Biocontrol of *Fusarium* root rot in the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) by using symbiotic *Glomus mosseae* and *Rhizobium leguminosarum*. Microb Ecol 1997; 34(1): 74-80.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002489900036] [PMID: 9178608]

© 2018 Tamiru and Muleta.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode). This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.